

FILED BY RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION PURSUANT TO
RULE 425 UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND DEEMED
FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 14a-12 UNDER THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

SUBJECT COMPANY: MEMORIAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT CORP
FILE NO. 001-36490

 **RANGE RESOURCES®**
Company Presentation

May 31, 2016

Forward-Looking Statements

This communication contains certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of federal securities laws, including within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that are not limited to historical facts, but reflect Range’s and MRD’s current beliefs, expectations or intentions regarding future events. Words such as “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “project,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” “pursue,” “target,” “continue,” and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. The statements in this press release that are not historical statements, including statements regarding the expected timetable for completing the proposed transaction, benefits and synergies of the proposed transaction, costs and other anticipated financial impacts of the proposed transaction; the combined company’s plans, objectives, future opportunities for the combined company and products, future financial performance and operating results and any other statements regarding Range’s and MRD’s future expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, financial conditions, assumptions or future events or performance that are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws.

Furthermore, the statements relating to the proposed transaction are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond Range’s or MRD’s control, which could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by the statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: failure to obtain the required votes of Range’s or MRD’s shareholders; the timing to consummate the proposed transaction; satisfaction of the conditions to closing of the proposed transaction may not be satisfied or that the closing of the proposed transaction otherwise does not occur; the risk that a regulatory approval that may be required for the proposed transaction is not obtained or is obtained subject to conditions that are not anticipated; the diversion of management time on transaction-related issues; the ultimate timing, outcome and results of integrating the operations of Range and MRD; the effects of the business combination of Range and MRD, including the combined company’s future financial condition, results of operations, strategy and plans; potential adverse reactions or changes to business relationships resulting from the announcement or completion of the proposed transaction; expected synergies and other benefits from the proposed transaction and the ability of Range to realize such synergies and other benefits; expectations regarding regulatory approval of the transaction; results of litigation, settlements and investigations; and actions by third parties, including governmental agencies; changes in the demand for or price of oil and/or natural gas can be significantly impacted by weakness in the worldwide economy; consequences of audits and investigations by government agencies and legislative bodies and related publicity and potential adverse proceedings by such agencies; compliance with environmental laws; changes in government regulations and regulatory requirements, particularly those related to oil and natural gas exploration; compliance with laws related to income taxes and assumptions regarding the generation of future taxable income; weather-related issues; changes in capital spending by customers; delays or failures by customers to make payments owed to us; impairment of oil and natural gas properties; structural changes in the oil and natural gas industry; and maintaining a highly skilled workforce.

Range’s and MRD’s respective reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, recent Current Reports on Form 8-K, and other SEC filings discuss some of the important risk factors identified that may affect these factors and Range’s and MRD’s respective business, results of operations and financial condition. Range and MRD undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements that speak only as of the date hereof.


The SEC permits oil and gas companies, in filings made with the SEC, to disclose proved reserves, which are estimates that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions as well as the option to disclose probable and possible reserves. Range has elected not to disclose the Company’s probable and possible reserves in its filings with the SEC. Range uses certain broader terms such as “resource potential,” “unrisked resource potential,” “unproved resource potential” or “upside” or other descriptions of volumes of resources potentially recoverable through additional drilling or recovery techniques that may include probable and possible reserves as defined by the SEC’s guidelines. Range has not attempted to distinguish probable and possible reserves from these broader classifications. The SEC’s rules prohibit us from including in filings with the SEC these broader classifications of reserves. These estimates are by their nature more speculative than estimates of proved, probable and possible reserves and accordingly are subject to substantially greater risk of actually being realized. Unproved resource potential refers to Range’s internal estimates of hydrocarbon quantities that may be potentially discovered through exploratory drilling or recovered with additional drilling or recovery techniques and have not been reviewed by independent engineers. Unproved resource potential does not constitute reserves within the meaning of the Society of Petroleum Engineer’s Petroleum Resource Management System and does not include proved reserves. Area wide unproven resource potential has not been fully risked by Range’s management. “EUR,” or estimated ultimate recovery, refers to our management’s estimates of hydrocarbon quantities that may be recovered from a well completed as a producer in the area. These quantities may not necessarily constitute or represent reserves within the meaning of the Society of Petroleum Engineer’s Petroleum Resource Management System or the SEC’s oil and natural gas disclosure rules. Actual quantities that may be recovered from Range’s interests could differ substantially. Factors affecting ultimate recovery include the scope of Range’s drilling program, which will be directly affected by the availability of capital, drilling and production costs, commodity prices, availability of drilling and completion services and equipment, lease expirations, transportation constraints, regulatory approvals, field spacing rules, recoveries of gas in place, length of horizontal laterals, actual drilling and completion results, including geological and mechanical factors affecting recovery rates and other factors. Estimates of resource potential may change significantly as development of our resource plays provides additional data.

In addition, our production forecasts and expectations for future periods are dependent upon many assumptions, including estimates of production decline rates from existing wells and the undertaking and outcome of future drilling activity, which may be affected by significant commodity price declines or drilling cost increases. Investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, available from our website at www.rangeresources.com or by written request to 100 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1200, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. You can also obtain this Form 10-K on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov or by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Range's Keys for Success

- **High quality, large scale acreage position containing repeatable projects with good returns improving further as costs are reduced**
- **Low cost structure with ability to continue driving costs down**
- **Improving capital efficiency**
- **New takeaway capacity projected to improve realizations for natural gas, NGLs and condensate**
- **Shallow base decline rate, 19% in 1st year, allows a minimal level of capex to hold production flat, ~\$300 million for 2017**
- **Low-cost takeaway capacity with built-in flexibility**
- **Strong 2016 hedges and ample liquidity with no near-term debt maturities**

Driving Down Unit Costs



	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016E
DD&A	\$1.69	\$1.62	\$1.44	\$1.30	\$1.14	\$0.96 ⁽²⁾
LOE ⁽¹⁾	\$0.60	\$0.41	\$0.36	\$0.35	\$0.26	\$0.23
Prod. Taxes	\$0.14	\$0.15	\$0.13	\$0.10	\$0.07	\$0.06
G&A ⁽¹⁾	\$0.56	\$0.46	\$0.42	\$0.35	\$0.27	\$0.24
Interest	\$0.69	\$0.61	\$0.51	\$0.40	\$0.33	\$0.29
Trans. & Gathering	\$0.62	\$0.70	\$0.75	\$0.76	\$0.78	\$1.05 ⁽³⁾ (\$0.25) ⁽⁴⁾
Total	\$4.30	\$3.95	\$3.61	\$3.26	\$2.85	\$2.58

(1) Excludes non-cash stock compensation

(2) 1Q 2016 DD&A was \$0.96

(3) Includes additional NGL & natural gas firm transport agreements. Propane transport costs were previously netted against NGL revenue. Incremental natural gas & NGL revenue, including additional ethane production, will more than offset the 2016 increase in transport expense

(4) Expected improvement in differentials as a result of additional transportation capacity

Near-Term Price Enhancements

Natural Gas Differential

- Range will be able to utilize a full year of Spectra's Uniontown to Gas City project, which takes ~200 Mmcf per day of Range gas production from local Appalachia M2 to Midwest markets
- Additional takeaway projects could strengthen local pricing differentials


NGL (Natural Gas Liquids) Differential

- Range is the only producer with capacity on the Mariner East project to Marcus Hook
 - 20,000 barrels per day of ethane transportation to fulfill contract with INEOS
 - 20,000 barrels per day of propane transportation with access to international propane markets


Condensate Differential

- Range initiated a new marketing arrangement in 3Q15 which improved Marcellus condensate net realized prices


RRC Marcellus NG Differential to NYMEX



RRC Corporate NGL Price as % of WTI



RRC Corporate Condensate Differential to WTI



Mariner East: Opening New Lanes

First Ethane Shipments – Faster Propane Loading Combined with VLGC Ships

- **Range is the only producer with current capacity on Mariner East**
- **Historic first shipments of ethane from U.S. to Europe**
- **Optionality of selling propane internationally or in local markets**
- **Expect uplift in ethane and propane realizations in 2016 for Range**



Ethane loading in progress



A ship waits in the harbor as another ship is being loaded.

First VLGC Loading of Range Propane for Export



Appalachia Gas Transportation Arrangements

Transportation Portfolio additions improve Range's differentials to NYMEX


Regional Direction	Projected Avg. 2016		Projected Avg. 2017	
	Mmbtu/day	Transport Cost per Mmbtu	Mmbtu/day	Transport Cost per Mmbtu
Firm Transportation				
Appalachia/Local	390,000	\$ 0.20	325,000	\$ 0.21
Gulf Coast	295,000	\$ 0.30	510,000	\$ 0.31
Midwest/Canada	285,000	\$ 0.28	330,000	\$ 0.30
Northeast	210,000	\$ 0.59	210,000	\$ 0.59
Total Gross Takeaway Capacity	1,180,000	\$ 0.31	1,375,000	\$ 0.35
Total Net Takeaway Capacity	980,000	\$ 0.31	1,140,000	\$ 0.35
Estimated Marcellus Differential to NYMEX⁽¹⁾	(\$0.40) – (\$0.45)		(\$0.25) – (\$0.35)	

Does not include current intermediary pipeline capacity (gathering) of >650,000 Mmbtu/day and assumes full utilization. Based on pipeline operator's anticipated project start dates.

(1) Based on expected utilization of capacity and forward pricing with differentials as of April 2016

Gas In Place (GIP) Analysis Shows Greatest Potential in SW PA

When GIP analysis from the Marcellus, Upper Devonian and Point Pleasant are combined, the largest stacked pay resource is located in SW PA where Range has concentrated its acreage position



Note: Townships where Range holds ~2,000+ acres (as of January 2016) and estimated as prospective, are outlined green. GIP – Range estimates.


SW/NE Pennsylvania Stacked Pays

FORMATION NAME & DESCRIPTION		<u>Wet Acreage</u>	<u>Dry Acreage</u>	<u>Total Net Acreage⁽¹⁾</u>
RHINESTREET SHALE Mixed organic & non-organic shale	Upper Devonian	335,000	180,000	515,000
MIDDLESEX SHALE Mixed organic & non-organic shale				
GENESEE SHALE Mixed organic & non-organic shale				
BURKETT SHALE - Organic black shale				
TULLY LIMESTONE				
HAMILTON SHALE Non-organic gray shale	Marcellus	335,000	290,000	625,000
MARCELLUS SHALE Organic black shale				
ONONDAGA LIMESTONE	Utica/Point Pleasant	-	400,000	400,000
UTICA SHALE Gray to Black and Brown Organic Shale				
POINT PLEASANT SHALE Brown to Black Calcareous Shale				
TRENTON LIMESTONE		<u>670,000</u>	<u>870,000</u>	<u>1,540,000</u>

Stacked pays allow for multiple development opportunities

(1) Excludes Northwest PA - 280,000 net acres, largely HBP

Over 180 Existing Pads Facilitate Future Development



- **124 pads with 5 or fewer wells, 59 pads with 6 to 9 wells**
- **Most pads designed to accommodate ~20 wells with the flexibility to drill Marcellus, Utica/Point Pleasant or Upper Devonian formations**
- **Significant time and cost savings are realized**
 - minimal permitting required
 - reuse of existing roads, surface facilities and gathering system

Range Marcellus – 2016 Well Economic Summary

Industry leading EUR/1,000 ft. and Cost/1,000 ft. in SW Appalachia

	SW Super-Rich	SW Wet	SW Dry	NE Dry
EUR	16.0 Bcfe 1,450 Mbbls & 7.3 Bcf	20.6 Bcfe 1,756 Mbbls & 10.1 Bcf	17.6 Bcf	14.1 Bcf
EUR/1,000 ft. lateral	2.4 Bcfe	3.0 Bcfe	2.5 Bcf	2.5 Bcf
EUR/stage	485 Mmcfe	589 Mmcfe	503 Mmcf	504 Mmcf
Well Cost	\$5.9 MM	\$5.8 MM	\$5.2 MM	\$2.9 MM
Cost/1,000 ft. lateral	\$881 K	\$832 K	\$743 K	\$518 K
Stages	33	35	35	28
Lateral Length	6,660 ft.	6,970 ft.	7,000 ft.	5,660 ft.
IRR - \$3.00	26%	25%	54%	58%

See appendix for complete assumptions and data on each area

Appalachian Peers Well Cost Comparison

	Average Well Cost*	Average Lateral Length	Cost per 1,000 ft.
	<i>(\$000's)</i>	<i>(feet)</i>	<i>(per 1,000 feet)</i>
Range	\$5,630	6,876	\$819
Peer A	6,300	7,000	900
Peer B	8,500	9,000	944
Peer C	6,700	7,000	957
Peer D	7,350	7,500	980
Peer E	7,100	7,700	925
Peer Average	\$7,195	7,640	\$942

Peer group includes AR, COG, EQT, RICE, SWN. Peer data comes from most recent presentations.

* Costs should include surface facilities.

Unhedged Recycle Ratio

Recycle Ratio: (Margin divided by F&D)

Assumed 2017 Natural Gas price*:	~\$3.00
Less: 2016 Expected Corp. differential	\$0.42
2016 Expected All-in cash unit costs	\$1.87
Adjusted Margin	~\$0.71
Expected future development Cost for PUD reserves	\$0.40
Unhedged Recycle Ratio	1.8

* Natural gas strip price as of 4/27/16

Liquidity and Leverage Outlook (Range pre-merger)

- At March 31, 2016, Range had \$1.7 billion liquidity under bank commitments, which is currently limited to \$1.2 billion by senior subordinated note indentures
- \$3 billion borrowing base and \$2 billion commitment amount under \$4 billion credit facility unanimously reaffirmed by bank group, next scheduled redetermination by May 1, 2017
- No note maturities until 2021
- Bank facility subject to renewal in 2019, with annual redeterminations
- Bradford County non-operated interest sold 3/28/16 for \$110 million of proceeds
- Signed agreement to sell 9,200 acres in the STACK play for ~\$77 million
- Solid, stable coverage on debt covenants
 - EBITDAX to interest – minimum of 2.5x (1Q Actual 4.8x)
 - PV9 proved reserves value to debt – minimum of 1.5x (1Q Actual 2.4x)
- Hedges on 80% of 2016 production at ~\$3.24

Range's Keys for Success – Assets, Team, Agreements & Strategy

Low cost structure with ability to continue driving costs lower

- High-grading asset sales lowered operating costs
- Lower debt balances reduce interest expense
- Headcount reduced by 31% YoY

Improving capital efficiency

- Longer laterals; 2016 plan average ~7,000', 2017 plan est. to average ~8,000'
- Improved targeting and completions
- Existing pad locations with facilities and gathering
- 2017 maintenance capex estimated at ~\$300 million

Better realizations from additional takeaway capacity and sales agreements

- Unique marketing arrangements coming on line
- Ability to reach premium markets and deliver products outside Marcellus, including international exports

Low-cost takeaway capacity with built-in flexibility

- First-mover advantage allowed Range to secure capacity on low-cost expansion projects
- Anticipated excess infrastructure build-out and avoided contracting for excessive firm transport

Strong 2016 hedges and ample liquidity

- Approximately 80% hedged on natural gas at ~\$3.24 Mmbtu
- At 3/31/16, only \$31 million drawn on \$2 billion credit facility
- 2016 program expected to use cash flow and asset sales, preserving liquidity

High quality, large scale acreage position containing repeatable projects with good returns

- Optionality and flexibility due to quality of acreage position, gathering system, available locations on existing pads
- Further improvements expected

Range Resources/Memorial Resource Development Proposed Merger

Announced May 16, 2016

Closing expected late 3rd Qtr. / early 4th Qtr. 2016

Highlights of Merger

- ✓ **Core acreage positions in two of the most prolific high-quality natural gas plays in North America**
- ✓ **Immediately cash flow accretive and credit enhancing**
- ✓ **Combination of two low-cost gas producers with opportunities to drive costs lower, improve returns and increase cash flow**
- ✓ **Complementary assets positioned near expanding natural gas and NGL demand centers**

Transaction Details

Consideration

- Range Resources (“Range”) merges with Memorial Resource Development (“MRD”) for 0.375 shares of Range per MRD share; All-stock transaction
- Implied value of \$15.75 per MRD share, a 17% premium based on closing prices as of May 13, 2016

Pro Forma Ownership and Corporate Governance

- MRD shareholders will own ~31% of the combined company
- MRD will have the right to nominate an independent director to a seat on Range’s Board
- Combined company will be led by current Range senior management team

Key Conditions and Timing

- Range shareholder approval and MRD shareholder approval
- Customary regulatory approvals
- Closing expected late 3rd quarter or early 4th quarter of 2016

Immediately Accretive & Credit Enhancing

<u>Annual Consensus Metrics*</u>	Existing RRC	Pro Forma RRC	% Change
• 2016E Production	520 Bcfe	670 Bcfe	+29%
• 2016E Production per day	1,420 Mmcfe	1,830 Mmcfe	+29%
• 2016E Cash Flow	\$375 Million	\$780 Million	+108%
• 2016E Cash Flow per share	\$2.24	\$3.20	+43%
• 2016E Cash Margin per Mcfe	\$0.72	\$1.17	+62%
• YE 2016E Debt to EBITDAX	4.8x	3.5x	+27%
• YE 2016E Debt to Cap	50%	37%	+26%

**Significant Enhancement to both
Cash Flow Per Share and Credit Metrics**

* Using 5/13/16 Consensus estimates


Marketing and Operational Efficiencies

Marketing

- MRD's position gives Range a presence in the Gulf Coast in advance of additional transportation availability out of Appalachia
- Opportunities to optimize Range's transportation portfolio
- Creates an expanding and improved Range customer base in or near multiple demand areas

Operational

- Modified drilling and targeting techniques
- Capital cost reductions through leveraging service provider relationships and reducing drilling or completion times
- Overhead efficiencies




Existing infrastructure connects the two acreage positions

Appendix



Sustained Growth with Improving Capital Efficiency


Range has one of the most capital efficient spending programs in the sector




* 2016 production estimated at midpoint of guidance with capital budget of \$495 million

Cost & Efficiency Improvements – SW Pennsylvania


Average Lateral Length




Well Cost / Lateral Length



Drilling Cost / Lateral Length
(includes vertical)




Completion Cost / Lateral Length



U.S. Natural Gas Production Growth has Slowed Considerably

December 2015 marked the first Y/Y supply decrease since February 2010



Source – Bentek, Jefferies as of April 2016

Track Record of Impressive Reserve Replacement at Low Cost

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	3-Year Average	5-Year Average
Reserve Replacement							
All sources – excluding PUD removals ⁽¹⁾	849%	680%	745%	793%	436%	638%	669%
All sources ⁽²⁾	849%	680%	636%	649%	207%	469%	546%
Finding Costs							
Drill bit only – without acreage ⁽¹⁾	\$0.76	\$0.76	\$0.47	\$0.44	\$0.37	\$0.43	\$0.53
Drill bit only – with acreage ⁽¹⁾	\$0.89	\$0.86	\$0.52	\$0.51	\$0.40	\$0.48	\$0.60
All sources – excluding PUD removals ⁽²⁾	\$0.89	\$0.86	\$0.52	\$0.54	\$0.40	\$0.50	\$0.61
All sources ⁽²⁾	\$0.89	\$0.76	\$0.61	\$0.67	\$0.84	\$0.68	\$0.75


(1) Includes performance and price revisions, excludes SEC required PUD removal due to 5-year rule

(2) From all sources, including price, performance and SEC required PUD removal due to 5-year rule

(3) Percentages shown are compounded annual growth rate

Appalachia Producer's 2015 F & D Costs


Core Acreage Has Big Impact on Value of Reserves



Peers included – Antero, Cabot, Consol, EQT, Gulfport, Rice & Southwestern

Range: Low-Cost, Large Scale

Range has lowest breakeven price in the SW Marcellus per Wood Mackenzie



Source: Wood Mackenzie – February 2016



SW PA Super-Rich Area Marcellus Projected 2016 Well Economics


- Southwestern PA – (High Btu case)
- 110,000 Net Acres
- EUR / 1,000 ft. – 2.40 Bcfe
- EUR – 16.0 Bcfe
(226 Mbbls condensate, 1,224 Mbbls NGLs & 7.3 Bcf gas)
- Drill and Complete Capital – \$5.87 MM
(\$881 K per 1,000 ft.)
- Average Lateral Length – 6,660 ft.
- F&D – \$0.44/mcfe

Estimated Cumulative Recovery for 2016 Production Forecast			
	Condensate (Mbbls)	Residue (Mmcf)	NGL w/ Ethane (Mbbls)
1 Year	48	661	111
2 Years	73	1,142	192
3 Years	92	1,555	261
5 Years	120	2,246	378
10 Years	161	3,517	591
20 Years	195	5,157	867
EUR	226	7,279	1,224





NYMEX Gas Price	ROR
Strip -	22%
\$3.00 -	26%

- Price includes current and expected differentials less gathering, transportation and processing costs
- For flat pricing, oil price assumed to be \$40/bbl for 2016, \$50/bbl for 2017 then \$65/bbl to life with no escalation
- NGL is average price including ethane with escalation
- Ethane price tied to ethane contracts plus same comparable escalation
- Strip dated 12/31/15 with 10-year average \$52.14/bbl and \$3.25/mcf

Southwest PA - Super-Rich Area 2016 Turn in Line Forecast



Southwest PA – Super-Rich Marcellus



All comparisons based on Turned in Line (TIL) wells for each year

SW PA Wet Area Marcellus Projected 2016 Well Economics


- Southwestern PA – (Wet Gas case)
- 225,000 Net Acres
- EUR / 1,000 ft. – 2.95 Bcfe
- EUR – 20.6 Bcfe
(56 Mbbls condensate, 1,700 Mbbls NGLs & 10.1 Bcf gas)
- Drill and Complete Capital – \$5.8 MM
(\$832 K per 1,000 ft.)
- Lateral Length – 6,970 ft.
- F&D – \$0.34/mcfe

Estimated Cumulative Recovery for 2016 Production Forecast			
	Condensate (Mbbls)	Residue (Mmcf)	NGL w/ Ethane (Mbbls)
1 Year	20	1,211	204
2 Years	30	2,014	339
3 Years	36	2,665	449
5 Years	44	3,694	622
10 Years	51	5,470	921
20 Years	55	7,654	1,289
EUR	56	10,100	1,700





NYMEX Gas Price	ROR
Strip -	20%
\$3.00 -	25%

- Price includes current and expected differentials less gathering, transportation and processing costs
- For flat pricing, oil price assumed to be \$40/bbl for 2016, \$50/bbl for 2017 then \$65/bbl to life with no escalation
- NGL is average price including ethane with escalation
- Ethane price tied to ethane contracts plus same comparable escalation
- Strip dated 12/31/15 with 10-year average \$52.14/bbl and \$3.25/mcf

Southwest PA - Wet Area 2016 Turn in Line Forecast



Southwest PA – Wet Marcellus



All comparisons based on Turned in Line (TIL) wells for each year

SW PA Dry Area Marcellus Projected 2016 Well Economics

- Southwestern PA – (Dry Gas case)
- 180,000 Net Acres
- EUR / 1,000 ft. – 2.52 Bcf
- EUR – 17.6 Bcf
- Drill and Complete Capital \$5.2 MM (\$743 K per 1,000 ft.)
- Average Lateral Length – 7,000 ft.
- F&D – \$0.36/mcf


Estimated Cumulative Recovery for 2016 Production Forecast	
	Residue (Mmcf)
1 Year	3,039
2 Years	4,674
3 Years	5,866
5 Years	7,609
10 Years	10,392
20 Years	13,633
EUR	17,641

NYMEX Gas Price	ROR
Strip -	41%
\$3.00 -	54%

- Price includes current and expected differentials less gathering and transportation costs
- Strip dated 12/31/15 with 10-year average \$52.14/bbl and \$3.25/mcf





Based on Washington County well data

SW PA– Dry Area 2016 Turn in Line Forecast



Based on Washington County well data

Southwest PA– Dry Marcellus




All comparisons based on Turned in Line (TIL) wells for each year


Based on Washington County well data

Utica Wells – Wellhead Pressure vs. Cumulative Production

Early Time Production Data (Including Flowback/Test Data)




Utica/Point Pleasant Update



Note: Townships where Range holds ~2,000+ or more acres are shown outlined above (as January 2016)


- 1st well estimated to have 15 Bcf EUR, or 2.8 Bcf per 1,000 lateral foot
- 2nd well completed with higher sand concentration and brought online in Q3 2015 with choke management at 13 Mmcf per day
- 2nd well EUR appears to be greater than the first well
- 3rd well appears to be one of the best dry gas Utica wells in the basin
- 400,000 net acres in SW PA prospective

Cost & Efficiency Improvements – Northern Marcellus




Normalized Production Results of Marcellus Tighter Spacing Projects

Projects conducted in the Wet and Super Rich areas of the Marcellus




Targeting/Down Spacing Test Results Encouraging

Average Normalized Time Zero Decline Curves




Returning to Existing Pads – SW Wet

- Ability to target our best areas with 3.6+ Bcfe/1,000 ft.
- New wells have EURs 22% higher than the average wet well
- Significant cost savings




Avg EUR/1000 ft.: 3.6+ Bcfe




Returning to Existing Pads – SW Dry


- Ability to target our best areas with 3.0+ Bcfe/1,000 ft.
- New wells have EURs 20% higher than the average dry well
- Significant cost savings



Avg EUR/1000 ft.: 3.0+ Bcfe




Gas In Place (GIP) – Marcellus Shale




Note: Townships where Range holds ~2,000+ acres (as of January 2016) and estimated as prospective, are outlined green. GIP – Range estimates.

Gas In Place (GIP) – Point Pleasant



Note: Townships where Range holds ~2,000+ acres (as of January 2016) and estimated as prospective, are outlined green. GIP – Range estimates.

Gas In Place (GIP) – Upper Devonian Shale



Note: Townships where Range holds ~2,000+ acres (as of January 2016) and estimated as prospective, are outlined green. GIP – Range estimates.

Macro Section



Significant Natural Gas Demand Growth Projected – Beginning in 2016

LONG TERM US NATURAL GAS DEMAND ROADMAP (BCF/D)

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Cumulative 2015-2020
LNG Exports						
Sabine Pass	1.2	1.2		0.7		3.1
Freeport			0.5	1.0		1.5
Cove Point			0.8			0.8
Cameron			1.2	0.6		1.8
Corpus Christi				0.8	0.8	1.6
LNG Sub-Total	1.2	1.6	2.6	3.1	0.8	8.9
Mexico/Canada Exports						
Mexico Net Exports	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	1.8
Canada net Exports	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5
Mexico/Canada Sub-Total	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	2.3
Power Generation						
Coal Plant Retirements	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.3	1.1
Nuclear Retirements	-	-	01.1	0.1	0.2	0.4
Incremental Electricity Demand	0.1	0.1	0.1	2.0	2.0	4.3
Power Generation Sub-Total	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.7	2.3
Industrial						
Methanol	0.3	0	0	0	0	0.4
Ethylene	0	0.4	0.1	-	0.1	0.6
Ammonia	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.0
Industrial Sub-Total	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.0
Transportation						
New Fueling Opportunities	-	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Transportation Sub-Total	-	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020
Total	3.1	2.5	3.7	4.0	2.2	15.8

Research report dated 04/08/2016


SIMMONS & COMPANY
INTERNATIONAL

US LNG Export Projects Under Construction

US LNG Projects Under Construction					
Projects	Uncontracted Capacity (bcf/d)	Contracted Capacity (bcf/d)	Nameplate Capacity (bcf/d)	Percent Contracted	Online Date
Sabine Pass T1-4	0.3	2.1	2.4	89%	Feb-16 - Sept-17
Cameron LNG T1-3	0	1.7	1.7	100%	Early/Mid/Late-18
Freeport LNG T1-3	0.1	1.7	1.8	97%	Sept-18 - Aug-19
Cove Point T1	0.1	0.7	0.8	92%	Dec-17
Corpus Christi T1-2	0.2	1.0	1.2	86%	Jun-19, Apr-20
Sabine Pass T5	0.1	0.5	0.6	83%	19-Jan
Total	0.7	7.8	8.4	92%	NA

- Nameplate US export capacity to total 8.4bcf/d by YE 2020 with just over 8bcf/d exportable 2020.
- >90% or 7.9bcf/d of the capacity is contracted.
 - What does this mean? The off-takers pay ~\$3/mmbtu for any contracted LNG volumes they defer/cancel. Buyers could then buy LNG on the spot market for ~\$7/mmbtu or all in cost of ~\$10/mmbtu (including the cancellation fee).
 - All in US LNG landed in Asia likely runs \$7.50-9.50 depending on US gas price and transport costs.
- There are 5 major US LNG export projects under construction (assumes Sabine Pass is one project).
- There are another >4bcf/d of LNG export projects that are ~fully contracted, which we would consider close to FID (e.g. Lake Charles, Golden Pass).


U.S. Natural Gas Exports to Mexico



Source - PointLogic, Bloomberg

Appalachian Rig Counts Declining


Utica / Point Pleasant Rig Count



- **Utica/Point Pleasant rig count down 82% from the peak in 2014**


- **Marcellus rig count down 84% from the 2014 peak**

Marcellus Rig Count



Source – RigData as of 4/22/2016


Appalachian Pipeline Flow Data by Region (Mcf/d)



Source – RS Energy Group, raw data from Ventyx Velocity Suite and Bloomberg, as of 4/19/2016




U.S. Domestic Oil Production Appears to Have Peaked



Associated Gas Growth Production

Gas production from 'oil plays' expected to continue declining in 2016 due to a lack of drilling within these plays




Source – Bentek, Jefferies as of April 2016

U.S. Natural Gas Production


Growth by Area

Year over Year % Growth


Appalachia




All Other Basins



Associated Gas




Total Gas



Source - Bentek, EIA

Marcellus NGL Pricing

Weighted Avg. Composite Barrel⁽¹⁾




- Ethane C2
- Propane C3
- Iso Butane iC4
- Normal Butane NC4
- Natural Gasoline C5+

Realized Marcellus NGL Prices					
	2015				2016
	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q
NYMEX – WTI (per bbl)	\$48.62	\$57.88	\$46.61	\$42.22	\$33.56
Mont Belvieu Weighted Priced Equivalent⁽²⁾	\$18.05	\$18.32	\$17.16	\$17.24	\$13.60
Plant Fees plus Diff.	(7.16)	(10.64)	(11.20)	(8.43)	(5.30)
Marcellus average price before NGL hedges	\$10.89	\$7.71	\$5.96	\$8.81	\$8.30
% of WTI (NGL Pre-hedge / Oil NYMEX)	22%	13%	13%	21%	25%

(1) Based on estimated NGL volumes in 1Q 2016

(2) Based on Mont Belvieu NGL prices and weighted average barrel composition for Marcellus

Appalachian Production, Consumption & Takeaway - 2015-2018



	2015	2016	2017	2018
Appalachia Production Year End Exit Rate	20.6	22.0	24.0	26.5
Appalachia Consumption + Injections	14.4	14.4	14.9	15.4
A Appalachia Gas Surplus for Export	6.2	7.6	9.1	11.1
Takeaway Projects - Northeast (cumulative)	1.1	1.8	3.1	7.8
Takeaway Projects - Southwest (cumulative)	3.3	5.9	15.2	20.4
B Total Takeaway Projects (cumulative)	4.4	7.7	18.3	28.3
Excess Takeaway (B - A)	(1.8)	0.1	9.2	17.1
	Summer Constrained	Freely Flowing	Overbuilt	

Source: Analyst estimates

Announced Appalachian Basin Takeaway Projects – 1 of 2

	Northeast PA	Operator	Main Line	Market	Start-up*	Capacity – Bcf/d	Fully Committed	Approved or with FERC
2015	Niagara Expansion	Kinder Morgan	TGP	Canada	Q4'15	0.2	Y	Y
	Northern Access 2015	NFG	National Fuel	Canada	Q4'15	0.1	Y	Y
	Leidy Southeast	Williams	Transco	Mid-Atlantic/SE	Q4'15	0.5	Y	Y
	East Side Expansion	Nisource	Columbia	Mid-Atlantic/SE	Q4'15	0.3	Y	Y
2016	SoNo Iroquois Access	Dominion	Iroquois	Canada	Q2'16	0.3	N	N
	Algonquin AIM	Spectra	Algonquin	NE	Q4'16	0.4	Y	Y
2017	Northern Access 2016	NFG	National Fuel	Canada	H2'17	0.4	Y	Y
	Constitution	Williams	Constitution	NE	H2'17	0.7	Y	Y
	Atlantic Bridge	Spectra	Algonquin	NE	H2'17	0.7	N	Y
2018	Atlantic Sunrise	Williams	Transco	Mid-Atlantic/SE	H1'18	1.7	Y	Y
	Access Northeast	Spectra	Algonquin	NE	H2'18	1.0	N	Y
	Diamond East	Williams	Transco	NE	H2'18	1.0	N	N
	PennEast	AGT		NE	H2'18	1.0	Y	Y

	Southwest	Operator	Main Line	Market	Start-up	Capacity – Bcf/d	Fully Committed	Approved or with FERC
2015	REX Zone 3 Full Reversal	Tall Grass	REX	Midwest	Q2'15	1.2	Y	Y
	TGP Backhaul / Broad Run	Kinder Morgan	TGP	Gulf Coast	Q4'15	0.6	Y	Y
	TETCO OPEN	Spectra	TETCO	Gulf Coast	Q4'15	0.6	Y	Y
	Uniontown to Gas City	Spectra	TETCO	Midwest	Q3'15	0.4	Y	Y
2016	Gulf Expansion Ph1	Spectra	TETCO	Gulf Coast	Q4'16	0.3	Y	Y
	Clarington West Expansion	Tall Grass	REX	Midwest	Q4'16	1.6	N	N
	Zone 3 Capacity Enhancement	Tall Grass	REX	Midwest	Q4'16	0.8	Y	Y

* Start-up dates reflect announced operator in-service dates



Note: Data subject to change as projects are approved and built. Highlighted projects where Range is participating.

Announced Appalachian Basin Takeaway Projects – 2 of 2

	Southwest	Operator	Main Line	Market	Start-up*	Capacity – Bcf/d	Fully Committed	Approved or with FERC
2017	Rover Ph1	ETP		Midwest/Canada/ Gulf Coast	Q2'17	1.9	Y	Y
	Rayne/Leach Xpress	Nisource	Columbia	Gulf Coast	Q3'17	1.5	Y	Y
	SW Louisiana	Kinder Morgan	TGP	Gulf Coast	Q3'17	0.9	Y	Y
	Rover Ph2	ETP		Midwest/Canada/ Gulf Coast	Q3'17	1.3	Y	Y
	Adair SW	Spectra	TETCO	Gulf Coast	Q4'17	0.2	Y	Y
	Access South	Spectra	TETCO	Gulf Coast	Q4'17	0.3	Y	Y
	Gulf Expansion Ph2	Spectra	TETCO	Gulf Coast	Q4'17	0.4	Y	Y
	NEXUS	Spectra		Midwest/Canada	Q4'17	1.5	Y	Y
	ANR Utica	Transcanada	ANR	Midwest/Canada	Q4'17	0.6	N	N
	Cove Point LNG	Dominion		NE	Q4'17	0.7	Y	Y
2018	TGP Backhaul / Broad Run Expansion	Kinder Morgan	TGP	Gulf Coast	Q2'18	0.2	Y	Y
	Mountain Valley	NextEra/EQT		Mid-Atlantic/SE	Q4'18	2.0	Y	Y
	Western Marcellus	Williams	Transco	Mid-Atlantic/SE	Q4'18	1.5	N	N
	Atlantic Coast	Duke/Dominion		Mid-Atlantic/SE	Q4'18	1.5	Y	Y
Total NE Appalachia to Canada						1.0		
Total NE Appalachia to NE						4.4		
Total NE Appalachia to Mid-Atlantic/SE						2.5		
Total NE Appalachia Additions						7.8		
Total SW Appalachia to Mid-Atlantic/SE						5.0		
Total SW Appalachia to Midwest/Canada						8.2		
Total SW Appalachia to Gulf Coast						6.5		
Total SW Appalachia to NE						0.7		
Total SW Appalachia Additions						20.4		
Overall Total Additions for Appalachian Basin (2015 – 2018)						28.3		


Existing capacity added by YE 2014	
2.8	SW
.6	NE
3.4	Total

* Start-up dates reflect announced operator in-service dates




Note: Data subject to change as projects are approved and built. Highlighted projects where Range is participating.

What Does the Future's Strip Price Indicate for Regional Basis?



Financial Detail

Range Maintains an Orderly Debt Maturity Ladder



Strong, Simple Balance Sheet

Debt at lowest level in past 3 years

	YE 2013	YE 2014	Q1 2015	Q2 2015	Q3 2015	Q4 2015	Q1 2016
<i>(\$ in millions)</i>							
Bank borrowings ⁽¹⁾	\$500	\$723	\$912	\$364	\$987	\$95	\$31
Sr. Notes ⁽¹⁾				750	750	750	750
Sr. Sub. Notes ⁽¹⁾	2,641	2,350	2,350	2,350	1,850	1,850	1,850
Less: Cash	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Net debt	3,141	3,073	3,262	3,464	3,587	2,695	2,631
Common equity	2,414	3,456	3,490	3,381	3,085	2,760	2,672
Total capitalization	\$5,555	\$6,529	\$6,752	\$6,845	\$6,672	\$5,455	\$5,303

Debt-to capitalization	57%	47%	48%	50%	54%	49%	50%
Debt/EBITDAX	2.8x	2.6x	2.9x	3.3x	3.7x	3.0x	3.3x
Liquidity ⁽²⁾	\$1,166	\$1,172	\$980	\$1,527	\$876	\$1,267 ⁽³⁾	\$1,238 ⁽³⁾

(1) Excludes unamortized debt issuance costs

(2) Liquidity based on bank commitment amount, which excludes additional liquidity under total borrowing base

(3) Liquidity limited based on senior subordinated notes indenture provision

Early, Continuous Action Taken to Prepare for Low Prices

June
2014

- Called high cost 8% notes, reducing annual interest expense by \$24 million or \$0.06 mcfe
- Redemption funded by an equal sized equity offering aimed at accelerating balance sheet

October
2014

- Renewed bank credit agreement with larger facility size, borrowing base, bank group and enhanced flexibility
- Annual borrowing base redeterminations and a 5-year maturity
- Ability to release collateral during transition to investment grade

March
2015

- Unanimous reaffirmation of \$3 billion borrowing base and \$2 billion commitments
- Elimination of debt-to-ebitdax covenant; replaced with interest coverage test and a forward-looking asset coverage test
- Announced closure of Oklahoma City office, saving approximately \$18 million annually in administrative costs

May
2015

- Opportunistically accessed a strong high yield debt market issuing \$750 million 10-year notes at 4.875%
- Issued senior notes continuing to lay foundation for an investment grade balance sheet
- Coupon remains the lowest of any high yield energy issuer of any rating year-to-date

August
2015


- Portion of proceeds from 4.875% senior notes offering used to redeem 6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2020
- Reduction in coupon on \$500 million principal redeemed of 1.875% amounts to annual interest savings of ~\$9.4 million

2016

- Sold Nora field for \$876 million on 12/30/15, paying down revolving credit facility
- Bradford county assets sold 3/28/16 for \$110 million
- Signed purchase and sale agreement for central Oklahoma assets for \$77 million

Range Bonds Continue to Trade Well

Since December highs, Range bonds tightened significantly and continue to trade well relative to a group of high quality peer bonds of similar duration



Source: JPMorgan DataQuery (4/22/16)

Gas and Oil Hedging Status

	Period	Volumes Hedged (Mmbtu/day)	Average Floor Price (\$/Mmbtu)
Gas Hedging	2Q 2016 Swaps	760,000	\$3.21
	3Q 2016 Swaps	760,000	\$3.22
	4Q 2016 Swaps	760,000	\$3.24
	2017 Swaps	205,000	\$2.83
	2018 Swaps	50,000	\$2.88
Oil Hedging	2Q 2016 Swaps	6,000	\$59.21
	3Q 2016 Swaps	5,750	\$58.73
	4Q 2016 Swaps	5,750	\$58.73
	2017 Swaps	1,000	\$50.13

As of 04/25/2016 – For quarterly detail of hedges, see RRC website

Natural Gas Liquids Hedging Status

	Period	Volumes Hedged (bbls/day)	Hedged Price ⁽¹⁾ (\$/gal)
Ethane (C2)	2H 2016 Swaps	500	\$0.22
	2017 Swaps	1,000	\$0.25
Propane (C3)	2016 Swaps	5,500	\$0.60
Normal Butane (NC4)	2Q 2016 Swaps	3,918	\$0.66
	2H 2016 Swaps	4,000	\$0.66
Natural Gasoline (C5)	2Q 2016 Swaps	3,250	\$1.14
	2H 2016 Swaps	3,500	\$1.11
	2017 Swaps	1,000	\$0.92

As of 04/25/2016 – For quarterly detail of hedges, see RRC website

Conversion Factor:
One barrel = 42 gallons

Contact Information

Range Resources Corporation
100 Throckmorton, Suite 1200
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Laith Sando, Vice President – Investor Relations
(817)869-4267

lsando@rangeresources.com

David Amend, Investor Relations Manager
(817)869-4266

damend@rangeresources.com

Michael Freeman, Senior Financial Analyst
(817)869-4264

mfreeman@rangeresources.com

www.rangeresources.com

Important Additional Information

This communication does not constitute an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities or a solicitation of any vote or approval. This communication relates to a proposed business combination between Range and MRD.

In connection with the proposed transaction, Range intends to file with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-4 that will include a joint proxy statement of Range and MRD that also constitutes a prospectus of Range. Each of Range and MRD also plan to file other relevant documents with the SEC regarding the proposed transactions. No offering of securities shall be made except by means of a prospectus meeting the requirements of Section 10 of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Any definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus(es) for Range and/or MRD (if and when available) will be mailed to shareholders of Range and/or MRD, as applicable.

INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS OF RANGE AND MRD ARE URGED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT(S), REGISTRATION STATEMENT(S), PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT MAY BE FILED WITH THE SEC CAREFULLY AND IN THEIR ENTIRETY IF AND WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

Investors and security holders will be able to obtain free copies of these documents (if and when available) and other documents containing important information about Range and MRD, once such documents are filed with the SEC through the website maintained by the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. Copies of the documents filed with the SEC by Range will be available free of charge on Range's internet website at <http://www.rangeresources.com> or by contacting Range's Investor Relations Department by email at lsando@rangeresources.com, damend@rangeresources.com, mfreeman@rangeresources.com, or by phone at 817-869-4267. Copies of the documents filed with the SEC by MRD will be available free of charge on MRD's internet website at <http://www.memorialrd.com> or by phone at 713-588-8339.

Range, MRD and certain of their respective directors and executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies in respect of the proposed transaction. Information about the directors and executive officers of MRD is set forth in MRD's proxy statement for its 2016 annual meeting of shareholders, which was filed with the SEC on April 1, 2016. Information about the directors and executive officers of Range is set forth in its proxy statement for its 2016 annual meeting of shareholders, which was filed with the SEC on April 8, 2016. These documents can be obtained free of charge from the sources indicated above.

Other information regarding the participants in the proxy solicitations and a description of their direct and indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, will be contained in the joint proxy statement/prospectus and other relevant materials to be filed with the SEC when such materials become available. Investors should read the joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully when it becomes available before making any voting or investment decisions. You may obtain free copies of these documents from Range or MRD using the sources indicated above.