

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002.

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-9592

RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE  
(State of incorporation)

34-1312571  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

777 MAIN STREET, FT. WORTH, TEXAS  
(Address of principal executive offices)

76102  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number: (817) 870-2601

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes X No

-----

54,769,405 Common Shares were outstanding on November 8, 2002.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

The financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2001 Form 10-K/A. The statements are unaudited but reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to fairly present the Company's financial position and results of operations. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise noted. These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(IN THOUSANDS)

DECEMBER 31,  
SEPTEMBER 30,  
2001 2002 ---  
-----

-----  
(Unaudited)

ASSETS

Current

assets Cash  
and  
equivalents \$  
3,380 \$ 463

Accounts  
receivable  
25,295 23,992

IPF  
receivables  
(Note 5)

7,000 9,900

Unrealized  
derivative  
gain (Note 3)  
37,165 790

Inventory and  
other 3,790  
3,939 -----

-----

--- 76,630

39,084 -----

-----

---- IPF  
receivables  
(Note 5)

34,402 23,027

Unrealized  
derivative  
gain (Note 3)

14,936 1,521

Oil and gas  
properties,  
successful  
efforts (Note

16) 1,047,629

1,114,169

Accumulated  
depletion  
(514,272)

(570,990) ---  
-----

-----

533,357

543,179 -----

-----

-----

Transportation

and field

assets (Note

3) 31,288

33,144

Accumulated

depreciation

(13,108)

(15,582) ----  
-----

-----

----- 18,180

17,562 -----

-----

---- Deferred

tax asset

(Note 13) --

8,877 Other

(Note 3)

4,957 4,651 -

-----

----- \$

682,462 \$

637,901

=====

=====

LIABILITIES

AND

STOCKHOLDERS'

EQUITY

Current

liabilities

Accounts

payable \$

27,202 \$

24,559

Accrued

liabilities

10,257 10,225

Accrued

interest

5,244 2,636

Unrealized

derivative

loss (Note 3)

397 8,389 ---

-----

43,100 45,809

-----

-----

Senior debt

(Note 6)

95,000

101,600 Non-

recourse debt

(Note 6)

98,801 87,100

Subordinated

notes (Note

6) 108,690

91,206 Trust

Preferred -

manditorily

redeemable

securities of

subsidiary

(Note 6)

89,740 84,840

Deferred

taxes (Note

13) 4,496 --

Unrealized

derivative

loss (Note 3)

2,235 3,198

Deferred

compensation

liability

(Note 11)

4,779 6,562

Commitments

and

contingencies

(Note 8)

Stockholders'

equity (Notes

9 and 10)

Preferred

stock, \$1

par,

10,000,000

shares

authorized,

none issued

or

outstanding -

- -- Common

stock, \$.01

par,

100,000,000  
 shares  
 authorized,  
 52,643,275  
 and  
 54,769,405  
 issued and  
 outstanding,  
 respectively  
 526 548  
 Capital in  
 excess of par  
 value 378,426  
 388,941 Stock  
 held by  
 employee  
 benefit  
 trust,  
 1,038,242 and  
 1,319,588  
 shares,  
 respectively  
 (Note 11)  
 (4,890)  
 (6,174)  
 Retained  
 earnings  
 (deficit)  
 (183,825)  
 (162,952)  
 Deferred  
 compensation  
 expense (139)  
 (115) Other  
 comprehensive  
 income (Note  
 3) 45,523  
 (2,662) -----  
 -----  
 ----- 235,621  
 217,586 -----  
 -----  
 ----- \$  
 682,462 \$  
 637,901  
 =====  
 =====

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.





share (Note  
14) Before  
extraordinary  
item - basic  
\$ 0.16 \$ 0.16  
\$ 0.88 \$ 0.36

=====  
=====  
=====

----- -  
diluted \$  
0.15 \$ 0.16 \$  
0.87 \$ 0.35

=====  
=====  
=====

After  
extraordinary  
item - basic  
\$ 0.16 \$ 0.17  
\$ 0.92 \$ 0.39

=====  
=====  
=====

----- -  
diluted \$  
0.16 \$ 0.17 \$  
0.90 \$ 0.38

=====  
=====  
=====

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.



RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS)

NINE MONTHS ENDED	
SEPTEMBER 30, -----	
----- 2001	
2002 -----	
-- (Restated) CASH	
FLOWS FROM	
OPERATIONS Net	
income \$ 45,219 \$	
20,873 Adjustments	
to reconcile net	
income to net cash	
provided by	
operations: Deferred	
income taxes 2,979	
(4,550) Depletion,	
depreciation and	
amortization 54,858	
57,120 Writedown of	
marketable	
securities 1,398	
1,220 Unrealized	
hedging (gains)	
losses (1,341) 2,771	
Adjustment to IPF	
receivables/allowance	
for bad (1,847)	
2,818 debts	
Amortization of	
deferred issuance	
costs 1,776 670 Gain	
on retirement of	
securities (2,585)	
(3,107) Deferred	
compensation	
adjustments (406)	
1,676 Gain on sale	
of assets (1,169)	
(292) Changes in	
working capital:	
Accounts receivable	
3,270 (1,009)	
Inventory and other	
738 (1,366) Accounts	
payable (4,801)	
3,724 Accrued	
liabilities (4,765)	
(1,414) -----	
----- Net cash	
provided by	
operations 93,324	
79,134 -----	
---- CASH FLOWS FROM	
INVESTING Oil and	
gas properties	
(61,582) (72,463)	
IPF investments	
(10,037) (3,942) IPF	
repayments 16,926	
9,729 Asset sales	
1,940 880 -----	
----- Net cash	
used in investing	
(52,753) (65,796) --	
----- CASH	
FLOWS FROM FINANCING	
ACTIVITIES Net	
decrease in parent	
facility and non-	
recourse debt	
(5,408) (5,101)	
Other debt	
repayments (34,247)	
(10,802) Debt	

issuance fees --	
(984) Preferred	
dividends (9) --	
Issuance of common	
stock 1,409 632 ----	
----- Net	
cash used in	
financing (38,255)	
(16,255) ----- --	
----- Change in	
cash 2,316 (2,917)	
Cash and	
equivalents,	
beginning of period	
2,612 3,380 -----	
----- Cash and	
equivalents, end of	
period \$ 4,928 \$ 463	
=====	=====

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

The Company is engaged in the development, acquisition and exploration of oil and gas properties primarily in the Southwestern, Gulf Coast and Appalachian regions of the United States. The Company also provides financing to small oil and gas producers through a subsidiary, Independent Producer Finance ("IPF"). The Company seeks to increase its reserves and production principally through development drilling and acquisitions. Range holds its Appalachian oil and gas assets through a 50% owned joint venture, Great Lakes Energy Partners L.L.C. ("Great Lakes"). The Company's financial statements for the three years ended 2001 have been restated.

The Company believes it has sufficient liquidity and cash flow to meet its obligations for the next twelve months. However, a material drop in oil and gas prices or a reduction in production and reserves would reduce its ability to fund capital expenditures, reduce debt and meet its financial obligations. In addition, the Company's high depletion, depreciation and amortization ("DD&A") rate may make it difficult to remain profitable if oil and gas prices decline. The Company operates in an environment with numerous financial and operating risks, including, but not limited to, the ability to acquire reserves on an attractive basis, the inherent risks of the search for, development and production of oil and gas, the ability to sell production at prices which provide an attractive return and the highly competitive nature of the industry. The Company's ability to expand its reserve base is, in part, dependent on obtaining sufficient capital through internal cash flow, borrowings or the issuance of debt or equity securities.

(2) RESTATEMENT

In July 2002, the Company selected KPMG LLP ("KPMG") as its new independent auditors. In connection with the change in auditors, the Company chose to reaudit its consolidated financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001, even though a reaudit was not required. The reaudit was intended to provide additional assurance to shareholders, insure access to the capital markets and to avoid any possible impediment to future transactions. Through KPMG's normal client acceptance procedures and the subsequent reaudit, KPMG identified five items that it determined should be restated on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001. The Company agreed with KPMG's recommendations and filed a 10K/A for 2001 and 10Q/A's for the first and second quarters of 2002 reflecting the changes.

During the auditor selection process, KPMG advised the Company that it believed a different accounting principle should have been used to determine the amount of gain recognized in 1999 upon the formation of the Great Lakes. Specifically the gain recognized in September 1999 should be reduced from \$39.8 million to \$30.9 million and income in subsequent periods should increase as a result of lower depletion expense. The Company previously announced this restatement in July 2002. The remaining four items of restatement were identified during KPMG's reaudit completed in September 2002. Each is detailed separately below.

The first additional item involved the Company's acquisition of Domain Energy. In recording the transaction, the purchase price had not been appropriately allocated to the individual oil and gas properties, causing a subsequent purchase price adjustment to be miscalculated. As a result, impairments recognized at year-end 2001 should be reduced. In addition, properties in Appalachia and Michigan, that had been combined into accounting pools for the purpose of calculating depletion, were subdivided into smaller pools and the depreciation rates historically applied on non-oil and gas assets should be reduced. As a result of these this restatement, pretax income decreased \$7.1 million in 1999, increased \$4.8 million in 2000, increased \$7.6 million in 2001 and decreased \$2.9 million in the first six months of 2002. In addition, these changes increased pretax income \$513,000 and \$1.7 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively.

The second item of restatement relates to the Company's deferred compensation plan (the "Plan"), under which eligible employees can defer all or a portion of their cash compensation and invest those amounts in a variety of investment options (including Company common stock) which are placed in a rabbi trust (the "Rabbi Trust"). Eligible employees can also place common stock awards in the Rabbi Trust. The Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF"), has determined that

assets and liabilities of the Rabbi Trust must be consolidated on the Company's balance sheet. While the

Rabbi Trust's assets and liabilities are of identical value, Company common stock held in the Rabbi Trust is treated as if it were treasury stock (it is deducted from outstanding shares as shares held by an employee benefit plan). Furthermore, because the Plan allows participants to diversify their investments, the liability to Plan participants must be revalued on the balance sheet each accounting period at the assets' then-quoted market prices and increases or decreases between accounting periods for the treasury stock is reflected on the income statement as increases or decreases in compensation expense. The securities, other than Company common stock, held in the Plan are marked to market in Other comprehensive income. Historically, the Company did not consolidate the Rabbi Trust in its consolidated financial statements nor did it add or subtract changes in the market value of the Plan's assets on its statement of operations. In addition, the Company offers designated employees the ability to purchase shares at a discount under a shareholder-approved Stock Purchase Plan or to receive bonuses or a portion of their base pay in restricted common stock issued at a discount from quoted market prices. Previously, such shares had always been accounted for based on the Company's estimate of the fair value of the stock granted or purchased. In the restated financial statements, stock purchased through the Plan or granted to employees was expensed based on the quoted market value without regard to the Company's estimate of fair value. The difference between previously reported values and market value is included as additional compensation expense on the restated statement of operations. As a result of this restatement, pretax income decreased \$561,000 in 1999, decreased \$3.8 million in 2000, increased \$1.7 million in 2001 and decreased \$1.8 million in the first six months of 2002. These changes also increased pretax income \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively.

The third item of restatement stems from a June 30, 2002, correction to a series of unreconciled balance sheet accounts that did not have any net material impact on the statement of operations. These balance sheet general ledger accounts were not supported by the underlying subsidiary ledger detail when the Company's accounting department moved from Ohio to Fort Worth. In the restatement, these corrections were reflected in the periods in which they applied, rather than in the second quarter of 2002. As a result, pretax income for periods prior to 1999 increased by \$1.9 million, increased by \$627,000 in 1999, decreased \$2.9 million in 2000, increased by \$190,000 in 2001 and increased by \$134,000 in the first six months of 2002. Pretax income increased \$289,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

The fourth and final additional item involves certain of Great Lakes interest rate swaps which had early cancellation provisions but had been accounted for as cash flow hedges. Upon further review, the swaps did not meet the documentation and effectiveness provisions of SFAS 133, requiring changes in fair value to be reported as interest expense on the restated financial statements as opposed to changes in Other comprehensive income. As a result, pretax income decreased \$1.4 million in 2001 and will increase by a corresponding amount in future periods. Additionally, the ineffective portion of certain commodity hedges increased income \$71,000 in 2001.

In total, all of the restatement changes increased net loss by \$15.7 million in 1999, decreased net income by \$1.4 million in 2000 and increased net income by \$8.7 million in 2001. The changes decreased net income by \$2.3 million in the first half of 2002 and increased net income by \$887,000 and \$2.4 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively. The following is financial information showing the net effect of all restatements for 2001. The Company's fully restated financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001 can be found on the Company's website at [www.rangeresources.com](http://www.rangeresources.com).

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS  
 ENDED  
 PREVIOUSLY  
 SEPTEMBER 30,  
 2001 REPORTED  
 RESTATED -----

-----  
 - - - - -

General and  
 administrative  
 3,090 1,833

Interest  
 expense 7,267  
 8,277 D,D&A  
 expense

19,330 18,690  
 Pretax income

9,800 10,687  
 Deferred tax  
 expense 3,430

2,808 Income  
 before

extraordinary  
 gain 6,370

7,879 Net  
 income 6,689  
 8,198

Earnings per  
 share - basic  
 0.13 0.16

Earnings per  
 share -  
 diluted 0.13  
 0.16

NINE MONTHS  
 ENDED

SEPTEMBER 30,  
 2001 -----

-----  
 General and  
 administrative  
 10,015 8,123

Interest  
 expense  
 24,360 25,948

D,D&A expense  
 56,967 54,858

Pretax income  
 43,202 45,615

Deferred tax  
 expense 4,958  
 2,093 Income

before  
 extraordinary  
 gain 38,295

43,573 Net  
 income 39,941  
 45,219

Earnings per  
 share - basic  
 0.79 0.92

Earnings per  
 share -  
 diluted 0.79  
 0.90

Cash  
 flows - Net  
 cash provided  
 by operations

94,035 93,324

Net cash used  
 in investing  
 (53,464)  
 (52,753)



BALANCE SHEETS

SEPTEMBER  
 30, 2001 ---  
 -----  
 --- Cash and  
 equivalents  
 4,801 4,928  
 Inventory  
 and other  
 3,629 4,564  
 Oil and gas  
 properties  
 1,076,737  
 1,058,498  
 Accumulation  
 depletion  
 (495,651)  
 (494,683)  
 Accounts  
 payable  
 16,298  
 17,087  
 Accrued  
 liabilities  
 19,347  
 24,432 Stock  
 held by  
 employee  
 benefit  
 trust --  
 (4,840)  
 Capital in  
 excess of  
 par value  
 372,204  
 374,237  
 Retained  
 earnings  
 (deficit)  
 (138,291)  
 (156,267)  
 Deferred  
 compensation  
 expense --  
 (139) Other  
 comprehensive  
 income  
 32,420  
 34,125  
 Stockholders'  
 equity  
 266,852  
 247,774  
 DECEMBER 31,  
 2001 -----  
 -----  
 Cash and  
 equivalents  
 3,253 3,380  
 Accounts  
 receivable  
 27,495  
 25,295  
 Inventory  
 and other  
 4,084 4,895  
 Unrealized  
 derivative  
 hedging gain  
 - 36,768  
 37,165  
 current  
 Unrealized  
 derivative  
 hedging gain  
 - 12,701



14,936  
 noncurrent  
 Oil and gas  
 properties  
 1,057,881  
 1,047,629  
 Accumulated  
 depletion  
 (512,786)  
 (514,272)  
 Accumulated  
 depreciation  
 (13,576)  
 (13,108)  
 Other 3,055  
 3,852  
 Accounts  
 payable  
 26,944  
 27,202  
 Accrued  
 liabilities  
 9,947 15,036  
 Accrued  
 interest  
 7,105 5,244  
 Unrealized  
 derivative  
 hedging loss  
 - - 397  
 current  
 Unrealized  
 derivative  
 hedging loss  
 - - 2,235  
 noncurrent  
 Deferred  
 taxes 9,651  
 4,496 Stock  
 held by  
 employee  
 benefit  
 trust - -  
 (4,890)  
 Capital in  
 excess of  
 par value  
 376,357  
 378,426  
 Retained  
 earnings  
 (deficit)  
 (169,237)  
 (183,825)  
 Deferred  
 compensation  
 expense - -  
 (139) Other  
 comprehensive  
 income  
 38,041  
 45,523  
 Stockholders'  
 equity  
 245,687  
 235,621

### (3) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, majority-owned subsidiaries and a pro rata share of the assets, liabilities, income and expenses of Great Lakes. Liquid investments with maturities of ninety days or less are considered cash equivalents. Certain reclassifications have been made to the presentation of prior periods to conform with current year presentation. The Company's financial statements for the last three calendar years have been restated.

#### REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenues from the sale of products and services in the period delivered. Payments received at IPF relating to return are recognized as income; remaining receipts reduce receivables. Although receivables are concentrated in the oil industry, the Company does not view this as an unusual credit risk. However, IPF's receivables are from small independent operators who usually have limited access to capital and the assets which underlie the receivables lack diversification. Therefore, operational risk is substantial and there is significant risk that required maintenance and repairs, development and planned exploitation may be delayed or not accomplished. At December 31, 2001 and September 30, 2002, IPF had valuation allowances of \$17.3 million and \$19.9 million and the Company had other allowances for doubtful accounts of \$2.9 million and \$889,000, respectively. A decrease in oil prices would be likely to cause an increase in IPF's valuation allowance.

#### MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Investments," pursuant to which the holdings of equity securities qualify as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in Stockholders' equity as a component of Other comprehensive income. A decline in the market value of a security below cost deemed other than temporary is charged to earnings. Realized gains and losses are reflected in income. The Company owns approximately 15% of a very small publicly traded independent exploration and production company. This entity has experienced growing difficulties, operationally and financially. During the first nine months of 2001 and 2002, the Company determined that the decline in the market value of this equity security it holds was other than temporary and losses of \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, were recorded as reductions to Interest and other revenues. Based on its analysis of the investment and its assessment of the prospects of realizing any value on the stock, the Company determined that the investment had no determinable value at June 30, 2002 and the book value of the investment was fully reserved. In October 2002, several creditors sought to place this entity in involuntary bankruptcy.

#### INDEPENDENT PRODUCER FINANCE

IPF acquires dollar denominated royalties in oil and gas properties from small producers. The royalties are accounted for as receivables because the investment is recovered from a percentage of revenues until a specified return is received. Payments received believed to relate to the return are recognized as income; remaining receipts reduce receivables. Receivables classified as current represent the return of capital expected within twelve months. All receivables are evaluated quarterly and provisions for uncollectible amounts established based on the Company's valuation of its royalty interest in the oil and gas properties. At September 30, 2002, IPF's valuation allowance totaled \$19.9 million. The receivables are non-recourse and are from small independent operators who usually have limited access to capital and the property interests backing the receivables frequently lack diversification. Due to favorable oil and gas prices in late 2000 and early 2001, certain of IPF's receivables began to generate cash flows which favorably impacted the valuation of the receivable. As a result, a \$1.9 million increase in receivables was recorded as additional income and a favorable valuation allowance adjustment of \$441,000 was recorded as a reduction of IPF expense for the six months of 2001. Based on price declines, the IPF valuation allowance was increased \$473,000 in the third quarter of 2001. In the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2002, based on the disappointing performance of certain properties, the valuation allowance was increased \$177,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively. During the third quarter of 2002, IPF revenues were \$1.3 million offset by \$391,000 of general and administrative costs, \$241,000 of interest and an unfavorable \$176,000 valuation allowance adjustment. During the same period of the prior year, revenues were \$1.7 million offset by the \$473,000 unfavorable valuation



adjustment, general and administrative expenses of \$406,000 and \$388,000 of interest. IPF's receivables have declined from a high of \$77.2 million in 1998 to \$32.9 million at September 30, 2002, as it has focused on recovering as much as possible of its investments. During this same period, IPF's debt declined from \$60.1 million to \$19.1 million. As of November 4, 2002, IPF's debt was \$16.8 million. The Company continues to assess alternatives relating to its ownership of IPF.

#### OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

The Company follows the successful efforts method of accounting. Exploratory drilling costs are capitalized pending determination of whether a well is successful. Wells subsequently determined to be dry holes are charged to expense. Costs resulting in exploratory discoveries and all development costs, whether successful or not, are capitalized. Geological and geophysical costs, delay rentals and unsuccessful exploratory wells are expensed. Depletion is provided on the unit-of-production method. Oil is converted to mcfe at the rate of six mcf per barrel. DD&A rates were \$1.33 and \$1.42 per mcfe in the quarters ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 and \$1.32 and \$1.39 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. Unproved properties had a net book value of \$25.7 million and \$20.6 million at December 31, 2001 and September 30, 2002, respectively.

The Company's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment quarterly for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 121. Long-lived assets are reviewed for potential impairments at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of other groups of assets.

The review is done by determining if the historical cost of proved properties less the applicable accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization and abandonment is less than the estimated expected undiscounted future cash flows. The expected future cash flows are estimated based on management's plans to continue to produce and develop proved reserves. Expected future cash flow from the sale of production of reserves is calculated based on estimated future prices. Management estimates prices based upon market related information including published futures prices. In years where market information is not available, prices are escalated for inflation. The estimated future level of production is based on assumptions surrounding future levels of prices and costs, field decline rates, market demand and supply, and the economic and regulatory climates.

When the carrying value exceeds such cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between the estimated fair market value and the carrying value of the assets.

#### TRANSPORTATION AND FIELD ASSETS

The Company's gas gathering systems are generally located in proximity to certain of its principal fields. Depreciation on these systems is provided on the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of four to fifteen years. The Company also receives third party income for providing certain field services which are recognized as earned. These revenues approximated \$500,000 in each of the three month periods ended September 2001 and 2002, and \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million for the nine month periods, respectively. Depreciation on the associated field assets is calculated on the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of three to seven years. Buildings are depreciated over ten years.

#### OTHER ASSETS

The expense of issuing debt is capitalized and included in Other assets on the balance sheet. These costs are generally amortized over the expected life of the related securities (using the sum-of-the-years digits amortization method). When a security is retired prior to maturity, related unamortized costs are expensed. At September 30, 2002, these capitalized costs totaled \$3.3 million. At September 30, 2002, the Company had a deferred tax asset of \$8.9 million. At December 31, 2001, the Company had a \$4.5 million net tax liability. At September 30, 2002, Other assets included \$3.3 million unamortized debt issuance costs, \$397,000 of long-term deposits, and \$963,000 of marketable securities held in the deferred compensation plan.

## GAS IMBALANCES

The Company uses the sales method to account for gas imbalances, recognizing revenue based on cash received rather than gas produced.

## DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING

Beginning in 2001, SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives," required that derivatives be recorded on the balance sheet as assets or liabilities at fair value. For derivatives qualifying as hedges, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recognized in Stockholders' equity as Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI") and reclassified to earnings when the transaction is consummated. Ineffective portions of such hedges are recognized in earnings as they occur. On adopting SFAS No. 133 in January 2001, the Company recorded a \$72.1 million net unrealized pre-tax hedging loss on its balance sheet and an offsetting deficit in OCI. Due to the decline in oil and gas prices since then, the roll off of expiring hedges and the effect of new hedges, this loss had become a \$6.8 million unrealized pre-tax loss at September 30, 2002. SFAS No. 133 can greatly increase volatility of earnings and stockholders' equity of independent oil companies which have active hedging programs such as Range. Earnings are affected by the ineffective portion of a hedge contract (changes in realized prices that do not match the changes in the hedge price). Ineffective gains or losses are recorded in Interest and other revenue while the hedge contract is open and may increase or reverse until settlement of the contract. Stockholders' equity is affected by the increase or decrease in OCI. Typically, when oil and gas prices increase, OCI decreases. The reduction in OCI at September 30, 2002 related to increases in oil and gas prices since December 31, 2001. Of the \$6.8 million unrealized pre-tax loss at September 30, 2002, \$7.1 million of losses would be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve month period and a gain of \$243,000 for the periods thereafter, if prices remained constant. Actual amounts that will be reclassified will vary as a result of changes in prices.

The Company had hedge agreements with Enron North America Corp. ("Enron") for 22,700 Mmbtu per day at \$3.20 per Mmbtu for the first three months of 2002. At December 31, 2001, based on accounting requirements, an allowance for bad debts of \$1.3 million was recorded, offset by a \$318,000 ineffective gain included in income and a \$1.0 million gain included in OCI related to these defaulted hedge contracts. The gain included in OCI at year-end 2001 was included in Interest and other revenue in the first quarter of 2002. In the three months ended June 30, 2002 the Company wrote off this receivable against the allowance for bad debts. The last Enron contract expired in March 2002. If the Company recovers any of its \$1.6 million unsecured claim, the recovery will be reported as income at that time.

The Company enters into contracts to reduce the effect of fluctuations in oil and gas prices. These contracts generally qualify as cash flow hedges; however, certain of the contracts have an ineffective portion (changes in realized prices that do not match the changes in hedge price) which is recognized in earnings. Prior to 2001, gains and losses were determined monthly and included in revenues in the period the hedged production was sold. Starting in 2001, gains or losses on open contracts were recorded in OCI. The Company also enters into swap agreements to reduce the risk of changing interest rates. These agreements qualify as fair value hedges and related income or expense are recorded as an adjustment to interest expense in the period covered.

Interest and other revenues in the consolidated statements of operations reflected ineffective hedging losses of \$321,000 and gains of \$2.9 million for the three months and the nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively. Ineffective hedging losses of \$419,000 and \$2.6 million are included for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2002, respectively. Net unrealized hedging gains and losses of \$9.3 million (net of \$2.5 million losses on interest rate swaps) and restated OCI of a loss of \$2.7 million (net of taxes) were recorded on the balance sheet at September 30, 2002. See Note 7.



On September 11, 2002, the EITF issued EITF Issue No. 02-15, Determining Whether Certain conversions of Convertible Debt to Equity Securities are within the Scope of FASB Statement No. 84 "Induced Conversions of Convertible Debt." Statement No. 84 was issued to amend APB Opinion No. 26, "Early Extinguishment of Debt" to exclude from its scope convertible debt that is converted to equity securities of the debtor pursuant to conversion privileges different from those included in the terms of the debt at issuance, and the change in conversion privileges is effective for a limited period of time, involves additional consideration, and is made to induce conversion. Statement 84 applies only to conversions that both (a) occur pursuant to changed conversion privileges that are exercisable only for a limited period of time and (b) include the issuance of all of the equity securities issuable pursuant to conversion privileges included in the terms of the debt at issuance for each debt instrument that is converted. The Task Force reached a consensus that Statement 84 applies to all conversions that both (a) occur pursuant to changed conversion privileges that are exercisable only for a limited period of time and (b) include the issuance of all of the equity securities issuable pursuant to conversion privileges included in the terms of the debt at issuance for each debt instrument that is converted regardless of the party that initiates the offer. This consensus should be applied prospectively to debt conversions completed after September 11, 2002. Since 1999, the Company has retired 6% Debentures and Trust Preferred securities, each of which are convertible into common stock under the terms of the issue, by either purchasing securities for cash or issuing common stock in exchange for such securities. Since the exchanges of common stock for these convertible debt securities were at relative market values, the convertible securities were retired at a substantial discount to face value. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 84, when an inducement is issued to retire convertible debt, the face value of the convertible debt security shall be charged to Stockholders' equity (common stock and paid in capital), the shares of common stock issued in excess of the shares that would have been issued under the terms of the debt instrument are expensed at the market value of such shares and an offsetting increase to paid in capital will also be recorded. Therefore, instead of recording gains on retirements of such securities acquired at substantial discounts to face value, an expense will be recorded. There will be no difference in total Stockholders' equity from the change in methods of recording the transactions. The Company plans to review whether this accounting pronouncement makes entering into such transactions in the future impractical.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, Rescission of SFAS Nos. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of SFAS No. 13 and Technical Corrections, which rescinds SFAS No. 4, Reporting Gains and Losses from

Extinguishment of Debt and an amendment of that statement, SFAS No. 64, Extinguishment of Debt Made to Satisfy Sinking - Fund Requirements. Management has not yet determined the effects of adopting this Statement on the financial position or results of operations. However, it appears that gains or losses on certain debt extinguishments previously reported as extraordinary will now generally be included in pretax income. The Company intends to adopt SFAS No. 145 effective January 1, 2003.

In 2001, SFAS No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement" established rules for the recognition and measurement of retirement obligations associated with long-lived assets. The pronouncement requires that retirement costs be capitalized as part of the cost of related assets and subsequently expensed using a systematic and rational method. The Company will adopt the statement effective January 1, 2003. The transition adjustment resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 143 will be reported as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. Currently, the Company capitalized and expenses, through depletion, such costs. The accrued liability for these costs is currently netted against oil and gas properties in the balance sheet. At this time, the Company cannot estimate the effect of SFAS No. 143's adoption on its financial position or results of operations. However, given the large number of wells in which the Company owns an interest, the effect could be significant.

(4) ACQUISITIONS

Acquisitions are accounted for as purchases. Purchase prices are allocated to acquired assets and assumed liabilities based on estimates of fair value. Acquisitions have been funded with internal cash flow, bank borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities. The Company purchased various properties for \$2.7 million and \$4.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

(5) SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Nine	
months	
ended	
September	
30, -----	
-----	
-- 2001	
2002 -----	
-- -----	
- (in	
thousands)	
Restated	
Common	
stock	
issued	
Under	
benefit	
plans \$	
1,582 \$	
2,686	
Exchanged	
for fixed	
income	
securities	
10,650	
8,359	
Income	
taxes paid	
-- --	
Interest	
paid	
28,100	
19,622	

The Company has and will continue to consider exchanging common stock or equity-linked securities for debt. Because the Company will begin applying Statement 84 as its guidance for accounting for these transactions (See Note 3 "Accounting Pronouncements"), the Company believes these transactions have been made less appealing, from an accounting perspective, than in the past. Existing stockholders may be materially diluted if substantial exchanges are consummated. The extent of dilution will depend on the number of shares and price at which common stock is issued, the price at which newly issued securities are convertible and the price at which debt is acquired.





(6) INDEBTEDNESS

The Company had the following debt and Trust Preferred (as hereinafter defined) outstanding as of the dates shown. Interest rates at September 30, 2002 excluding the impact of interest rate swaps, are shown parenthetically (in thousands):

December 31,  
September  
30, 2001  
2002 -----  
-----

-----  
SENIOR DEBT

Parent  
credit  
facility  
(3.6%) \$  
95,000 \$

101,600 ----  
-----

-----  
NON-RECOURSE  
DEBT Great  
Lakes credit

facility  
(3.6%)  
68,000

75,001 IPF

credit  
facility  
(4.1%)  
23,800

19,100 -----  
-----

-----  
98,801

87,100 -----  
-----

-----  
SUBORDINATED

DEBT 8.75%  
Senior

Subordinated

Notes due  
2007 79,115

69,586 6%

Convertible

Subordinated

Debentures  
due 2007

29,575

21,620 -----  
-----

-----  
108,690

91,206 -----  
-----

-----  
TOTAL DEBT

302,491

279,906 ----  
-----

-----  
TRUST

PREFERRED -

MANDATORILY

REDEEMABLE

SECURITIES

OF

SUBSIDIARY

89,740

84,840 -----  
-----

-----  
TOTAL \$

392,231 \$

=====  
=====

Interest paid in cash during the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 totaled \$11.0 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. Interest paid in cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 totaled \$28.1 million and \$19.6 million, respectively. No interest expense was capitalized during the three months or the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

#### PARENT SENIOR DEBT

In May 2002, the Company entered into an amended \$225.0 million revolving bank facility (the "Parent Facility"). The Parent Facility provides for a borrowing base subject to redeterminations each April and October. The borrowing base at October 1, 2002 was \$145.0 million. The Company has the right to increase the borrowing base by up to \$10 million during any six month borrowing base period based on a percentage of the face value of subordinated debt (8.75% Notes, 6% Debentures or Trust Preferred) retired by the Company. In October 2002, the Company elected to increase the borrowing base to \$147.0 million under this provision. On October 31, 2002, \$43.1 million was available. The loan matures in July 2005. The weighted average interest rate was 5.9% and 3.8% for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, and 7.0% and 4.0% for the nine months then ended, respectively. The interest rate is LIBOR plus a margin of 1.50% to 2.25%, depending on outstandings. A commitment fee is paid on the undrawn balance based on an annual rate of 0.375% to 0.50%. At September 30, 2002, the commitment fee was 0.375% and the interest rate margin was 1.75%. At October 31, 2002, the interest rate was 3.6%.

#### NON-RECOURSE DEBT

The Company consolidates its proportionate share of borrowings on Great Lakes' \$275.0 million secured revolving bank facility (the "Great Lakes Facility"). The Great Lakes Facility is non-recourse to Range and provides for a borrowing base subject to redeterminations each April and October. On October 31, 2002, the borrowing base was \$205.0 million of which \$66.0 million was available. The loan matures in January 2005. The interest rate on the Great

Lakes Facility is LIBOR plus 1.50% to 2.00%, depending on outstandings. A commitment fee is paid on the undrawn balance at an annual rate of 0.25% to 0.50%. At September 30, 2002, the commitment fee was 0.375% and the interest rate margin was 1.75%. The average interest rate on the Great Lakes Facility, excluding hedges, was 5.9% and 3.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 and 6.9% and 3.9% for the nine months then ended, respectively. After hedging (see Note 7), the rate was 7.4% and 7.0% for the quarters and 7.8% and 6.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. At October 31, 2002, the interest rate was 3.6% excluding hedges and 6.7% after hedging.

IPF has a \$100.0 million secured revolving credit facility (the "IPF Facility"). The IPF Facility is non-recourse to Range and matures in January 2004. The borrowing base under the IPF Facility is subject to re-determinations each April and October. However, in November 2002, the borrowing base was reduced to \$16.9 million of which \$100,000 was available on November 4, 2002. The IPF Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.75% to 2.25% depending on outstandings. A commitment fee is paid on the un-drawn balance at an annual rate of 0.375% to 0.50%. At September 30, 2002, the commitment fee was 0.50% and the interest rate margin was 2.25%. The weighted average interest rate on the IPF Facility was 5.8% and 4.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, and 6.9% and 4.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. As of October 31, 2002, the interest rate was 4.2%.

#### SUBORDINATED NOTES

The 8.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2007 (the "8.75% Notes") are redeemable at 104.375% of principal, declining 1.46% each January to par in 2005. The 8.75% Notes are unsecured general obligations subordinated to senior debt. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2002, the Company exchanged \$875,000 face amount of the 8.75% Notes for 183,000 shares of common stock. In addition, during the three month and nine month period ended September 30, 2002 the Company purchased \$3.7 million and \$8.7 million face amount of the 8.75% Notes for cash, respectively in the market, with a majority of the repurchases at a discount. During the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2001, the Company repurchased \$10.0 million and \$35.0 million face amount of the 8.75% Notes in the market at a discount. Only cash repurchases are reflected on the cash flow statement. The gain on all exchanges is included as a Gain on retirement of debt securities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. On October 31, 2002, \$69.6 million of the 8.75% Notes were outstanding.

The 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due 2007 (the "6% Debentures") are convertible into common stock at the option of the holder at a price of \$19.25 per share. The 6% Debentures mature in 2007 and are redeemable at 103.0% of principal, declining 0.5% each February to 101% in 2006, remaining at that level until it becomes par at maturity. The 6% Debentures are unsecured general obligations subordinated to all senior indebtedness, including the 8.75% Notes. During the three month and nine month period ended September 30, 2001 \$1.5 million of 6% Debentures were retired at a discount in exchange for 226,000 shares of common stock and \$5.7 million of 6% Debentures were retired at a discount in exchange for 759,000 shares of common stock, respectively. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2002, \$7.1 million of 6% Debentures were retired at a discount in exchange for 1,166,000 shares of common stock. In addition, \$800,000 and \$815,000 of 6% Debentures face amount were repurchased in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2002, respectively. Extraordinary gains (net of taxes) of \$215,000 and \$87,000 were recorded in the third quarter of 2001 and 2002, and \$862,000 and \$840,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. On October 31, 2002, \$21.6 million of 6% Debentures were outstanding.

#### TRUST PREFERRED - MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE SECURITIES OF SUBSIDIARY

In 1997, a special purpose affiliate (the "Trust") issued \$120 million of 5.75% Trust Convertible Preferred Securities (the "Trust Preferred"). The Trust Preferred is convertible into the Company's common stock at a price of \$23.50 a share. The Trust invested the proceeds in 5.75% convertible junior subordinated debentures of the Company (the "Junior Debentures"). The Junior Debentures and the Trust Preferred mature in 2027 and are currently redeemable at 103.45% of principal, declining 0.58% each November to par in 2007. The Company guarantees payment on the Trust Preferred to a limited extent, which taken with other obligations, provides a full subordinated guarantee. The Company has the right to suspend distributions on the Trust Preferred for five years without triggering a default. During such suspension, accumulated distributions accrue additional interest at a rate of 5.75% per annum. The accounts of the Trust are included in the consolidated financial statements after eliminations. Distributions are recorded as interest expense in the statement of operations and are tax deductible. During the nine months ended

September 30, 2001 and 2002, \$2.4 million and \$2.4 million of Trust Preferred were reacquired at a

discount in exchange for 231,000 and 283,000 shares of common stock. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2002 the Company repurchased for cash \$2.5 million face amount of Trust Preferred at a discount. Extraordinary gains (net of taxes) of \$619,000 and \$1.2 million were recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. On October 31, 2002, \$84.8 million face amount of the Trust Preferred was outstanding.

On September 11, 2002, the EITF issued EITF Issue No. 02-15, Determining Whether Certain conversions of Convertible Debt to Equity Securities are within the Scope of FASB Statement No. 84 "Induced Conversions of Convertible Debt." Statement No. 84 was issued to amend APB Opinion No. 26, "Early Extinguishment of Debt" to exclude from its scope convertible debt that is converted to equity securities of the debtor pursuant to conversion privileges different from those included in the terms of the debt at issuance, and the change in conversion privileges is effective for a limited period of time, involves additional consideration, and is made to induce conversion. Statement 84 applies only to conversions that both (a) occur pursuant to changed conversion privileges that are exercisable only for a limited period of time and (b) include the issuance of all of the equity securities issuable pursuant to conversion privileges included in the terms of the debt at issuance for each debt instrument that is converted. The Task Force reached a consensus that Statement 84 applies to all conversions that both (a) occur pursuant to changed conversion privileges that are exercisable only for a limited period of time and (b) include the issuance of all of the equity securities issuable pursuant to conversion privileges included in the terms of the debt at issuance for each debt instrument that is converted, regardless of the party that initiates the offer. This consensus should be applied prospectively to debt conversions completed after September 11, 2002. Since 1999, the Company has retired 6% Debentures and Trust Preferred securities, each of which are convertible into common stock under the terms of the issue, by either purchasing securities for cash or issuing common stock in exchange for such securities. Since the exchanges of common stock for these convertible debt securities were at relative market values, the convertible securities were retired at a substantial discount to face value. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 84, when an inducement is issued to retire convertible debt, the face value of the convertible debt security shall be charged to Stockholders' equity (common stock and paid in capital), the shares of common stock issued in excess of the shares that would have been issued under the terms of the debt instrument are expensed at the market value of such shares and an offsetting increase to paid in capital will also be recorded. Therefore, instead of recording gains on retirements of such securities acquired at substantial discounts to face value, an expense will be recorded. There will be no difference in total Stockholders' equity from the change in methods of recoding the transactions.

The debt agreements contain covenants relating to net worth, working capital, dividends and financial ratios. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2002. Under the most restrictive covenant, which is embodied in the 8.75% Notes, approximately \$800,000 of other restricted payments could be made at September 30, 2002. As this covenant limits the ability to repurchase the 6% Convertible Debentures and Trust Preferred, the Company may seek to amend it. Under the Parent Facility, common dividends are permitted beginning January 1, 2003. Dividends on the Trust Preferred may not be paid unless certain ratio requirements are met. The Parent Facility provides for a restricted payment basket of \$20.0 million plus 50% of net income (excluding Great Lakes and IPF) plus 66 2/3% of distributions, dividends or payments of debt from or proceeds from sales of equity interests of Great Lakes and IPF plus 66 2/3% of net cash proceeds from common stock issuances. The Company estimates that \$20.4 million was available under the Parent Facility's restricted payment basket on September 30, 2002.

#### (7) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company's financial instruments include cash and equivalents, receivables, payables, debt and commodity and interest rate derivatives. The book value of cash and equivalents, receivables and payables is considered representative of fair value because of their short maturity. The book value of bank borrowings is believed to approximate fair value because of their floating rate structure.

A portion of future oil and gas sales is periodically hedged through the use of option or swap contracts. Realized gains and losses on these instruments are reflected in the contract month being hedged as an adjustment to oil and gas revenue. At times, the Company seeks to manage interest rate risk through the use of swaps. Gains and losses on interest rate swaps are included as an adjustment to interest expense in the relevant periods.

At September 30, 2002, the Company had hedging contracts covering 58.4 Bcf of gas at prices averaging \$3.96 per mcf and 1.7 million barrels of oil

averaging \$24.11 per barrel. Their fair value, represented by the estimated amount that would be realized upon termination, based on contract prices versus the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") price on September 30, 2002, was a net unrealized pre-tax loss of \$6.8 million. The





(51,328) --  
-----  
-----  
-----  
---- Total  
(394,862)  
(344,913)  
(376,333)  
(338,473) -  
-----  
-----  
-----  
----- Net  
financial  
instruments  
\$(337,059)  
\$(287,110)  
\$(372,596)  
\$(334,736)  
=====  
=====  
=====  
=====

(1) Fair value based on quotes received from certain brokerage houses.  
Quotes for September 30, 2002 were 99.5% for the 8.75% Notes, 81.5%  
for the 6% Debentures and 60.5% for the 5.75% Trust Preferred.

The following schedule shows the effect of closed oil and gas hedges since January 1, 2001 and the value of open contracts at September 30, 2002 (in thousands):

Quarter Hedging Gain/ Ended	(Loss) - -
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	-----
-- Closed Contracts	
2001 March 31	(23,440)
June 30	(5,250)
March 31	8,450
December 31	14,047
-----	-----
Subtotal	(6,193)
2002 March 31	11,727
June 30	3,638
September 30	3,484 -
-----	-----
Subtotal	18,849 ---
-----	-----
Total realized gain \$	12,656
=====	
Open Contracts	
2002 December 31 \$	(2,195) --
-----	-----
Subtotal	(2,195)
2003 March 31	(2,921)
June 30	(1,540)
September 30	(410)
December 31	(585) -
-----	-----
Subtotal	(5,456)
2004 March 30	(286)
June 30	37
September 30	357
December 31	442 ---
-----	-----
Subtotal	550
2005 March 31	(33)
June 30	82
September	

30 119  
December  
31 110 ---  
-----  
Subtotal  
278 -----  
-- Total  
unrealized  
loss  
(6,823) --  
-----  
Total  
realized  
and  
unrealized  
gain \$  
5,833  
=====

Interest rate swaps are accounted for on the accrual basis with income or expense being recorded as an adjustment to interest expense in the period covered. For the three months and the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the related losses were insignificant. Neither the Parent Company nor IPF had interest rate swaps in effect. However, Great Lakes had nine interest rate swap agreements totaling \$100.0 million, of which 50% is consolidated at Range. Two agreements totaling \$45.0 million at LIBOR rates of 7.1% expire in May 2004. Two agreements totaling \$20.0 million at 6.2% expire in December 2002. Five agreements totaling \$35.0 million at rates averaging 4.63% expire in June of 2003. The fair value of these swaps at September 30, 2002 approximated a net loss of \$4.9 million of which 50% is consolidated at Range.

The combined fair value of net losses on oil and gas hedges and net losses on interest rate swaps totaled \$9.3 million and appear as short-term and long-term Unrealized derivative gains and short-term and long-term Unrealized derivative losses on the balance sheet. Hedging activities are conducted with major financial or commodities trading institutions which management believes are acceptable credit risks. At times, such risks may be concentrated with certain counterparties. The creditworthiness of these counterparties is subject to continuing review.

(8) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is involved in various legal actions and claims arising in the ordinary course of business, which includes a royalty owner suit filed in 2000 asking for class action certification against Great Lakes and the Company. In the opinion of management, such litigation and claims are likely to be resolved without material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

(9) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has authorized capital stock of 110 million shares which includes 100 million of common stock and 10 million of preferred stock. In 1995, the Company issued \$28.8 million of \$2.03 Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock which was convertible into common stock at a price of \$9.50. The issue was retired in December 2001. Stockholders equity was \$217.6 million at September 30, 2002.

The following is a schedule of changes in the number of outstanding common shares since the beginning of 2001:

Nine months ended 2001 September 30, 2002 -	
-----	
-----	
Beginning Balance	
49,187,682	
52,643,275	
Issuance	
Employee benefit plans	
372,398	
298,231	
Stock options exercised	
223,594	
103,790	
Stock purchase plan	
263,000	
92,500	
Exchanges for 6% Debentures	
758,597	
1,165,700	
Trust Preferred	
291,211	
283,200	
\$2.03 Preferred	
766,889 --	
8.75%	
Notes	
779,960	
182,709	
Other (56)	
--	
-----	
-----	
3,455,593	
2,126,130	
-----	
---	
Ending Balance	
52,643,275	
54,769,405	
=====	
=====	

(10) STOCK OPTION AND PURCHASE PLANS

The Company has four stock option plans, of which two are active, and a stock purchase plan. Under these plans, incentive and non-qualified options and stock purchase rights are issued to directors, officers and employees pursuant to decisions of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Board"). Information with respect to the option plans is summarized below:

Inactive  
Active ---  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

Domain  
1989  
Directors'  
1999 Plan  
Plan Plan  
Plan Total  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

Outstanding  
on  
December  
31, 2001  
137,484  
542,700  
120,000  
1,315,113  
2,115,297  
Granted --  
-- 48,000  
1,428,850  
1,476,850  
Exercised  
(5,782)  
(41,007)  
(2,000)  
(55,001)  
(103,790)  
Expired --  
(32,963)  
(14,000)  
(140,524)  
(187,487)  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

(5,782)  
(73,970)  
32,000  
1,233,325  
1,185,573  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

Outstanding  
on  
September  
30, 2002  
131,702  
468,730  
152,000  
2,548,438  
3,300,870  
=====  
=====

=====  
=====  
=====

In 1999, shareholders approved a stock option plan (the "1999 Plan") authorizing the issuance of up to 1.4 million options. In 2001, shareholders approved an increase in the number of options issuable to 3.4 million. The Company submitted a proposal to shareholders, which was approved at the annual meeting of shareholders in May 2002, increasing the number of options issuable to 6.0 million. All options issued under the 1999 Plan from August 5, 1999 through May 22, 2002 vested 25% per year beginning after one year and have a maximum term of 10 years. Options issued under the 1999 Plan after May 22, 2002 vest 30%, 30%, 40% over a three year period and have a maximum term of 5 years. During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, 1,428,850 options were granted under the 1999 Plan at exercise prices of \$4.43, \$4.87 and \$5.49 a share to eligible employees, including 250,000 and 175,000 options granted to the Chairman and the President, respectively. At September 30, 2002, 2.5 million options were outstanding under the 1999 Plan at exercise prices of \$1.94 to \$6.67.

In 1994, shareholders approved the Outside Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Directors' Plan"). In 2000, shareholders approved an increase in the number of options issuable to 300,000, extended the term of the options to ten years and set the vesting period at 25% per year beginning a year after grant. Effective May 22, 2002, the term of the options was changed to five years with vesting immediately upon grant. Director's options are normally granted upon election of a Director or annually upon their reelection at the Annual Meeting. At September 30, 2002, 152,000 options were outstanding under the Directors' Plan at exercise prices of \$2.81 to \$6.00.

The Company maintains the 1989 Stock Option Plan (the "1989 Plan") which authorizes the issuance of up to 3.0 million options. No options have been granted under this plan since March 1999. Options issued under the 1989 Plan vest 30% after a year, 60% after two years and 100% after three years and expire in 5 years. At September 30, 2002, 468,730 options remained outstanding under the 1989 Plan at exercise prices of \$2.63 to \$7.63 a share.

The Domain stock option plan was adopted when that company was acquired with existing Domain options becoming exercisable into Range common stock. No options have been granted under this plan since the acquisition. At September 30, 2002, 131,702 options, all of which were vested, remained outstanding at an exercise price of \$3.46 a share.





(11) DEFERRED COMPENSATION

During 1996, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted a deferred compensation plan (the "Plan"). The Plan gives certain senior employees the ability to defer all or a portion of their salaries and bonuses and invest in Common Stock of the Company at a discount to market prices or make other investments at the employee's discretion. The stock held in the benefit trust is treated in a manner similar to treasury stock with an offsetting amount reflected as a deferred compensation liability of the Company and the carrying value of the deferred compensation is adjusted to fair value each reporting period by a charge or credit to operations in the general and administrative expense category on the Company's statement of operations. The Company recorded total expenses (credit) related to the fair value adjustment of the Plan of (\$1.3 million) and (\$1.2 million) the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, the Company recorded total expenses (credit) of (\$2.3 million) and \$71,000, respectively.

(12) BENEFIT PLAN

The Company maintains a 401(k) Plan which permits employees to contribute up to 50% of their salary (subject to Internal Revenue limitations) on a pre-tax basis. Historically, the Company has made discretionary contributions to the 401(k) Plan annually. All Company contributions become fully vested after the individual employee has three years of service with the Company. In December 2000 and 2001, the Company contributed \$483,000 and \$554,000, at then market value, respectively, of the Company's common stock to the 401(k) Plan. Employees have a variety of investment options in the 401(k) Plan. The Company does not require that employees hold the contributed stock in their account. Employees are encouraged to diversify out of Company stock based on their personal investment strategies.

(13) INCOME TAXES

The Company follows SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," pursuant to which the liability method is used. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and regulations that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The significant components of deferred tax liabilities and assets were as follows (in thousands):

December 31,	
September	
30, 2001	
2002 -----	
-----	
(Restated)	
Deferred tax	
assets Net	
operating	
loss	
carryover \$	
53,977 \$	
53,977	
Allowance	
for doubtful	
accounts	
7,035 7,292	
Percentage	
depletion	
carryover	
5,256 5,256	
Net	
unrealized	
loss on	
hedging --	
4,327 AMT	
credits and	
other 660	
660 -----	
-----	
----- Total	
66,928	
71,512	
Deferred tax	
liabilities	
Depreciation	
(54,732)	
(62,635) Net	
realized	
gain on	
hedging	
(16,692) --	
-----	
-----	
- Total	
(71,424)	
(62,635) ---	
-----	
-----	
Net deferred	
tax	
(liability)	
asset \$	
(4,496) \$	
8,877	
=====	
=====	

A deferred tax liability, generated by gains in OCI, of \$4.5 million was recorded on the balance sheet at December 31, 2001. The deferred tax asset of \$12.2 million at December 31, 2001 was used to offset the majority of the deferred tax liability primarily resulting from unrealized hedging gains included in OCI. Therefore, the benefit of the reduced liability is recorded in 2002 as the hedges creating the gains in OCI transfer to realized revenue. As of

September 30, 2002, the deferred tax asset was \$8.9 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002, the Company recorded a deferred tax expense (benefit) in the statement of operations of \$363,000 and \$(4.6) million, respectively. The deferred tax benefit included \$3.9 million, \$3.4 million and \$3.0 million which was reclassified from other comprehensive income during the first, second and third quarters of 2002, respectively. The Company estimates an additional \$1.9 million deferred tax benefit will be reclassified from Other comprehensive income to income in the fourth quarter.

At December 31, 2001, the Company had regular net operating loss ("NOL") carryovers of \$174.3 million and alternative minimum tax ("AMT") NOL carryovers of \$155.9 million that expire between 2012 and 2020. Regular NOL's generally offset taxable income and to such extent, no income tax payments are required. To the extent that AMT NOL's offset AMT Income, no alternative minimum tax payment is due. NOL's generated prior to a change of control are subject to limitations. The Company experienced several changes of control between 1994 and 1998. Consequently, the use of \$34.1 million of NOL's is limited to \$10.2 million per year. No such annual limitation exists on the remaining NOL's. At December 31, 2001, the Company had a statutory depletion carryover of \$6.6 million and an AMT credit carryover of \$660,000 which are not subject to limitation or expiration.

(14) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands except per share amounts):

Three months ended, Nine months ended, September 30, 2002	September 30, 2001
-----	-----
Income before extraordinary item \$ 7,879	
\$ 8,535	\$ 43,573
18,871	Gain on retirement Preferred Stock 24 -- 556 -- Preferred dividends (1) -- (9) --
-----	-----
- Numerator for earnings per share, before extraordinary item 7,902	8,535
8,535	44,120
18,871	Extraordinary item Gain on retirement of securities, net 319 687
1,646	2,002
-----	-----
Numerator for earnings per share, basic and diluted \$ 8,221	\$ 9,222
\$ 45,766	\$ 20,873
=====	=====
Denominator Weighted average	

shares,  
 basic 51,507  
 54,764  
 50,875  
 54,101 Stock  
 held by  
 employee  
 benefit  
 trust  
 (1,048)  
 (1,316)  
 (990)  
 (1,177) ----  
 -----  
 - - - - -  
 -----

Weighted  
 average  
 shares,  
 basic 50,459  
 53,448  
 49,885  
 52,924 Stock  
 held by  
 employee  
 benefit  
 trust 1,048  
 1,316 990  
 1,177  
 Dilutive  
 potential  
 common  
 shares stock  
 options 91  
 140 91 144 -  
 -----  
 ---- - - - - -  
 - - - - -

Denominator  
 for dilutive  
 earnings per  
 share 51,598  
 54,904  
 50,966  
 54,245  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====

Earnings per  
 share basic  
 and diluted:  
 Before  
 extraordinary  
 gain Basic \$  
 0.16 \$ 0.16  
 \$ 0.88 \$  
 0.36 Diluted  
 \$ 0.15 \$  
 0.16 \$ 0.87  
 \$ 0.35 After  
 extraordinary  
 gain Basic \$  
 0.16 \$ 0.17  
 \$ 0.92 \$  
 0.39 Diluted  
 \$ 0.16 \$  
 0.17 \$ 0.90  
 \$ 0.38

During the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, 120,000 and 165,000 stock options were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share and for the nine months then ended, 139,000 and 168,000 stock options were included in such computation. Remaining stock options, the 6% Debentures, the Trust Preferred and the \$2.03 Preferred were not included because their inclusion would have been antidilutive.

The Company markets its production on a competitive basis. Gas is sold under various types of contracts ranging from life-of-the-well to short-term contracts that are cancelable within 30 days. Oil purchasers may be changed on 30 days notice. The price for oil is generally equal to a posted price set by major purchasers in the area. The Company sells to oil purchasers on the basis of price and service. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, two customers, Duke Energy Trading and Marketing and Petrocom Energy Group, LTD, accounted for 13% and 12%, respectively, or more of oil and gas revenues. Management believes that the loss of any one customer would not have a material long-term adverse effect on the Company.

Between late 1999 and September 30, 2001, Great Lakes sold approximately 90% of its gas production to FirstEnergy, at prices based on the close of NYMEX contracts each month plus a basis differential. In mid-2001, Great Lakes began selling its gas to various purchasers including FirstEnergy. Over the next twelve months, Great

Lakes expects to sell approximately a third of its gas to FirstEnergy. At December 31, 2001, 91% of Great Lakes gas was being sold at prices based on the close of NYMEX contracts each month plus a basis differential. The remainder is sold at a fixed price.

(16) OIL AND GAS ACTIVITIES

The following summarizes selected information with respect to producing activities. Exploration costs include capitalized as well as expensed outlays (in thousands):

Nine Year ended months ended December 31, September 30, 2001 2002 --- -----	
	(Restated)
	Book value
	Properties
	subject to
	depletion \$
	1,021,898 \$
	1,093,547
	Unproved
	properties
	25,731 20,622
	-----
	-----
	Total
	1,047,629
	1,114,169
	Accumulated
	depletion
	(514,272)
	(570,990) ---
	-----
	-----
	Net \$ 533,357
	\$ 543,179
	=====
	=====
	Costs
	incurred
	Development \$
	69,162 \$
	45,028
	Exploration(a)
	11,405 13,490
	Acquisition(b)
	9,489 9,525 -
	-----
	-----
	Total \$
	90,056 \$
	68,043
	=====
	=====

- (a) Includes \$5,879 and \$9,257 of exploration costs expensed in 2001 and the nine months ended September 30, 2002, respectively.
- (b) Includes \$3,792 and \$4,405 for oil and gas reserves, the remainder represents acreage purchases in 2001 and the nine months ended September 30, 2002, respectively.

(17) INVESTMENT IN GREAT LAKES

The Company owns 50% of Great Lakes and consolidates its proportionate interest in the joint venture's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. The following table summarizes the 50% interest in Great Lakes financial statements as of or for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 (in thousands):

September 30,  
2002 -----  
---- Balance  
Sheet Current  
assets \$  
7,882 Oil and  
gas  
properties,  
net 173,068  
Transportation  
and field  
assets, net  
15,456 Other  
assets 143  
Current  
liabilities  
13,405 Long-  
term debt  
68,000  
Members'  
equity  
107,144  
Income  
Statement  
Revenues \$  
38,391 Net  
income 9,638

(18) EXTRAORDINARY ITEM

In the third quarter of 2001, \$10.0 million of 8.75% Notes was repurchased for cash and \$1.5 million and \$120,000 of 6% Debentures and \$2.03 Convertible Preferred, respectively, was exchanged for common stock. An extraordinary gain of \$319,000 (net of \$172,000 of taxes) was recorded because the securities were acquired at a discount. In the third quarter of 2002, \$800,000, \$2.5 million and \$3.7 million of 6% Debentures, 5.75% Preferred and 8.75% Notes, respectively, were repurchased for cash. An extraordinary gain of \$687,000 (net of \$363,000 of taxes) was recorded because the securities were acquired at a discount. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively, the Company recorded extraordinary gains of \$1.6 million (net of taxes of \$886,000) and \$2.0 million (net of taxes of \$1.1 million). See Note 6 for further information on exchanges and repurchases. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" regarding further guidance on SFAS 84 and EITF 02-15 and accounting for extraordinary gains.



ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operation are based upon consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally adopted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Application of certain of the Companies accounting policies, including those related to oil and gas revenues, bad debts, oil and gas properties, marketable securities, income taxes and contingencies and litigation, require significant estimates. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

Proved oil and natural gas reserves - Proved reserves are defined by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") as those volumes of crude oil, condensate, natural gas liquids and natural gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty are recoverable from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed reserves are volumes expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. Although the Company's engineers are knowledgeable of and follow the guidelines for reserves as established by the SEC, the estimation of reserves requires the engineers to make a significant number of assumptions based on professional judgment. Estimated reserves are often subject to future revision, certain of which could be substantial, based on the availability of additional information, including: reservoir performance, new geological and geophysical data, additional drilling, technological advancements, price changes and other economic factors. Changes in oil and natural gas prices can lead to a decision to start-up or shut-in production, which can lead to revisions to reserve quantities. Reserve revisions inherently lead to adjustments of depreciation rates utilized by the Company. The Company can not predict the types of reserve revisions that will be required in future periods.

Successful efforts accounting - The Company utilizes the successful efforts method to account for exploration and development expenditures. Unsuccessful exploration wells are expensed and can have a significant effect on operating results. Successful exploration drilling costs and all development capital expenditures are capitalized and systematically charged to expense using the units of production method based on proved developed oil and natural gas reserves as estimated by the Company's engineers. The Company also uses proved developed reserves to recognize expense for future estimated dismantlement and abandonment costs.

Impairment of properties - The Company continually monitors its long-lived assets recorded in Property, Plant and Equipment in the Consolidated Balance Sheet to make sure that they are fairly presented. The Company must evaluate its properties for potential impairment when circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset could exceed its fair value. A significant amount of judgment is involved in performing these evaluations since the results are based on estimated future events. Such events include a projection of future oil and natural gas sales prices, an estimate of the ultimate amount of recoverable oil and natural gas reserves that will be produced from a field, the timing of this future production, future costs to produce the oil and natural gas, and future inflation levels. The need to test a property for impairment can be based on several factors, including a significant reduction in sales prices for oil and/or natural gas, unfavorable adjustment to reserves, or other changes to contracts environmental regulations or tax laws. All of these same factors must be considered when testing a property's carrying value for impairment. The Company can not predict the amount of impairment charges that may be recorded in the future.

Income taxes - The Company is subject to income and other similar taxes in all areas in which it operates. When recording income tax expense, certain estimates are required because: (a) income tax returns are generally filed months after the close of its calendar year; (b) tax returns are subject to audit by taxing authorities and audits can often take years to complete and settle; and (c) future events often impact the timing of when income tax

expenses and benefits are recognized by the Company. The Company has deferred tax assets relating to tax operating loss carryforwards and other deductible differences. The Company routinely evaluates all deferred tax

assets to determine the likelihood of their realization. A valuation allowance has not been recognized for deferred tax assets due to management's belief that these assets are likely to be realized.

The Company's deferred tax assets exceed deferred tax liabilities at year-end 2001, before considering the effects of Other comprehensive income ("OCI"). In determining deferred tax liabilities, accounting rules require OCI to be considered, even though such income (loss) has not yet been earned. The inclusion of OCI causes deferred tax liabilities to exceed deferred tax assets by \$4.5 million, therefore, such amount is recorded as deferred tax liability at year-end 2001 on the Company's balance sheet. The Company needs to earn approximately \$34.8 million of pre-tax income from the unrealized hedges included in OCI at year-end before statutory taxes will be recorded on the income statement in 2002. Due to the complexity of the accounting rules regarding taxes, the timing of when the Company will record deferred taxes is uncertain.

The Company occasionally is challenged by taxing authorities over the amount and/or timing of recognition of revenues and deductions in its various income tax returns. Although the Company believes that it has adequate accruals for matters not resolved with various taxing authorities, gains or losses could occur in future years from changes in estimates or resolution of outstanding matters.

Legal, environmental and other contingent matters - A provision for legal, environmental and other contingent matters is charged to expense when the loss is probable and the cost can be reasonably estimated. Judgment is often required to determine when expenses should be recorded for legal, environmental and contingent matters. In addition, the Company often must estimate the amount of such losses. In many cases, management's judgment is based on interpretation of laws and regulations, which can be interpreted differently by regulators and/or courts of law. The Company's management closely monitors known and potential legal, environmental and other contingent matters, and makes its best estimate of when the Company should record losses for these based on information available to the Company.

Other significant accounting policies requiring estimates include the following: The Company recognizes revenues from the sale of products and services in the period delivered. Revenues at IPF are recognized as earned. We provide an allowance for doubtful accounts for specific receivables we judge unlikely to be collected. At IPF, all receivables are evaluated quarterly and provisions for uncollectible amounts are established. The Company records a write down of marketable securities when the decline in market value is considered to be other than temporary. Impairments are recorded when management believes that a property's net book value is not recoverable based on current estimates of expected future cash flows.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the Company spent \$68.0 million on development, exploration and acquisitions. During the period, debt and Trust Preferred were reduced by \$27.5 million. At September 30, 2002, the Company had \$463,000 in cash, total assets of \$637.9 million and, including the Trust Preferred as debt, a debt to capitalization (including debt, deferred taxes and stockholders' equity) ratio of 63%. Excluding the Trust Preferred from debt and equity, the debt to capitalization ratio was 56%. Available borrowing capacity on the

Company's bank lines at September 30, 2002 was \$39.4 million at the parent, a net \$34.5 million at Great Lakes and \$7.9 million at IPF. Long-term debt at September 30, 2002 totaled \$364.7 million. This included \$101.6 million of parent bank borrowings, a net \$68.0 million at Great Lakes, \$19.1 million at IPF, \$69.6 million of 8.75% Notes, \$21.6 million of 6% Debentures and \$84.8 million of Trust Preferred.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, 1.6 million shares of common stock were exchanged for \$7.1 million of 6% Debentures, \$2.4 million of Trust Preferred and \$875,000 of 8.75% Notes. In addition, \$800,000 face amount of 6% Debentures, \$2.5 million face amount of 5.75% Trust Preferred and \$8.7 million face amount of 8.75% Notes were repurchased for cash. A \$2.0 million extraordinary gain on retirement (net of taxes) was recorded as the securities were acquired at a discount. (See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements "Recent Accounting Pronouncements").

The Company believes its capital resources are adequate to meet its requirements for at least the next twelve months; however, future cash flows are subject to a number of variables including the level of production and prices as well as various economic conditions that have historically affected the oil and gas business. There can be no assurance that internal cash flow and other capital sources will provide sufficient funds to maintain planned capital expenditures.

#### Cash Flow

The Company's principal sources of cash are operating cash flow and bank borrowings. The Company's cash flow is highly dependent on oil and gas prices. The Company has entered into hedging agreements covering approximately 70%, 60%, 30% and 10% of anticipated production from proved reserves on an mcfe basis for the remainder of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The \$72.5 million of capital expenditures (which included \$8.5 million for abandonment) in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was funded with internal cash flow. Net cash provided by operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 was \$93.3 million and \$79.1 million, respectively. Cash flow from operations decreased from the prior year with lower prices and volumes, higher exploration and general and administrative expense being somewhat offset by lower direct operating and interest expense. Net cash used in investing for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 was \$52.8 million and \$65.8 million, respectively. The 2001 period included \$61.6 million of additions to oil and gas properties partially offset by \$6.9 million of IPF receipts (net of fundings) and \$2.0 million in asset sales. The 2002 period included \$72.5 million of additions to oil and gas properties partially offset by \$5.8 million of IPF receipts (net of fundings). Net cash used in financing for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 was \$38.3 million and \$16.2 million, respectively. During the first nine months of September 2002, total debt (including Trust Preferred) declined \$27.5 million. Parent bank debt increased which was more than offset by decreases in non-recourse bank debt of \$11.7 million, Subordinated Notes (8.75% Notes and 6% Debentures) of \$17.5 million and the Trust Preferred of \$4.9 million. The net reduction in debt was the result of exchanges of common stock and the use of excess cash flow to reduce debt.

#### Capital Requirements

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the \$72.5 million of capital expenditures was funded with internal cash flow. The Company seeks to entirely fund its capital budget with internal cash flow. Based on the 2002 capital budget of \$100.0 million, the Company sought to increase production and expand the reserve base. Due to certain production interruptions experienced this year, production for the year is not expected to increase. However, the Company believes production will begin to grow by year-end. The Company currently anticipates the capital expenditure program will be entirely funded with internal cash flow in 2002 and will approximate \$90.0-\$95.0 million.

#### Banking

The Company maintains three separate revolving bank credit facilities: a \$225.0 million facility at the Parent; a \$100.0 million facility at IPF and a \$275.0 million facility at Great Lakes. Each facility is secured by substantially all the borrowers' assets. The IPF and Great Lakes facilities are non-recourse to Range. As Great Lakes is 50% owned, half its borrowings are consolidated in Range's financial statements. Availability under the facilities is subject to borrowing bases set by the banks semi-annually and in certain other circumstances. The borrowing bases are dependent on a number of factors, primarily the lenders' assessment of the future cash flows.



Redeterminations, other than increases, require approval of 75% of the lenders, increases require unanimous approval.

At October 31, 2002, the Parent had a \$147.0 million borrowing base of which \$43.1 million was available. Great Lakes, half of which is consolidated at Range, had a \$205.0 million borrowing base, of which \$66.0 million was available. In November 2002, IPF's borrowing base was redetermined to be \$16.9 million, of which \$100,000 was currently available on November 4.

## Hedging

### Oil and Gas Prices

The Company regularly enters into hedging agreements to reduce the impact of fluctuations in oil and gas prices. The Company's current policy, when futures prices justify, is to hedge 50% to 75% of anticipated production from existing proved reserves on a rolling 12 to 18 month basis. At September 30, 2002 hedges were in place covering 58.4 Bcf of gas at prices averaging \$3.96 per Mmbtu and 1.7 million barrels of oil at prices averaging \$24.11 per barrel. Their fair value at September 30, 2002 (the estimated amount that would be realized on termination based on contract versus NYMEX prices) was a net unrealized pre-tax loss of \$6.8 million. The contracts expire monthly and cover approximately 70%, 60%, 30% and 10% of anticipated production from proved reserves on an mcf basis for the remainder of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Gains or losses on open and closed hedging transactions are determined as the difference between contract price and a reference price, generally closing prices on the NYMEX. Gains and losses are determined monthly and are included as increases or decreases in oil and gas revenues in the period the hedged production is sold. An ineffective portion (changes in contract prices that do not match changes in the hedge price) of open hedge contracts is recognized in earnings as it occurs. Net decreases to oil and gas revenues from hedging for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 were \$20.2 million and oil and gas revenues were increased by \$18.8 million from hedging for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

### Interest Rates

At September 30, 2002, Range had \$364.7 million of debt (including Trust Preferred) outstanding. Of this amount, \$176.0 million bore interest at fixed rates averaging 7.0%. Senior debt and non-recourse debt totaling \$188.7 million bore interest at floating rates which averaged 3.7% at September 30, 2002. At times, the Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to limit the impact of interest rate fluctuations on its floating rate debt. At September 30, 2002 Great Lakes had interest rate swap agreements totaling \$100.0 million, 50% of which is consolidated at Range. Two agreements totaling \$45.0 million at rates of 7.1% expire in May 2004, two agreements totaling \$20.0 million at 6.2% expire in December 2002, and five agreements totaling \$35.0 million at rates averaging 4.65% expire in June 2003. The values of these swaps are marked to market quarterly. The fair value of the swaps, based on then current quotes for equivalent agreements at September 30, 2002 was a net loss of \$4.9 million, of which 50% is consolidated at Range. The 30-day LIBOR rate on September 30, 2002 was 1.81%. A 1% increase or decrease in short-term interest rates would cost or save the Company approximately \$1.4 million in annual interest expense.

## Capital Restructuring Program

As described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company took a number of steps beginning in 1998 to strengthen its financial position. These steps included asset sales and the exchange of common stock for fixed income securities. These initiatives have helped reduce parent company bank debt from \$365.2 million to \$101.6 million and total debt (including Trust Preferred) from \$727.2 million to \$364.7 million at September 30, 2002. While the Company's financial position has stabilized, management believes debt remains too high. To return to its historical posture of consistent profitability and growth, the Company believes it should further reduce debt. Management currently believes the Company has sufficient cash flow and liquidity to meet its obligations for the next twelve months. However, a significant drop in oil and gas prices or a reduction in production or reserves would reduce the Company's ability to fund capital expenditures and meet its financial obligations.



(mcf)  
 153,112  
 151,246  
 152,295  
 150,367  
 Average  
 sales  
 prices  
 (excluding  
 derivative  
 gains and  
 losses):  
 Crude oil  
 (per bbl)  
 \$ 23.82 \$  
 25.43 \$  
 25.13 \$  
 22.51  
 Plant  
 products  
 (per bbl)  
 \$ 14.17 \$  
 13.49 \$  
 19.84 \$  
 12.39  
 Natural  
 gas (per  
 mcf) \$  
 2.67 \$  
 2.99 \$  
 4.50 \$  
 2.82  
 Average  
 sales  
 price  
 (including  
 derivative  
 gains and  
 losses):  
 Crude oil  
 (per bbl)  
 \$ 25.27 \$  
 22.05 \$  
 25.85 \$  
 22.32  
 Plant  
 products  
 (per bbl)  
 \$ 14.17 \$  
 13.49 \$  
 19.84 \$  
 12.39  
 Natural  
 gas (per  
 mcf) \$  
 3.40 \$  
 3.48 \$  
 3.82 \$  
 3.44 Total  
 (mcf) \$  
 3.53 \$  
 3.46 \$  
 3.91 \$  
 3.44



The following table identifies certain items in the results of operations and is presented to assist in comparison of the second quarter and nine month period of 2002 to the same periods of the prior year. The table should be read in conjunction with the following discussions of results of operations (in thousands):

Three months ended Nine months ended September 30, September 30, ----- ----- -----	2001 2001	2002 2002	2002 --
Restated			
Restated			
Increase			
(decrease)			
in revenues:			
Writedown of			
marketable			
securities \$			
(50) \$ -- \$			
(1,398) \$			
(1,220)			
Ineffective			
portion of			
hedges (321)			
(419) 2,929			
(2,581) Gain			
from sales			
of assets			
103 266			
1,169 292			
Hedging			
gains			
(losses)			
8,450 3,484			
(20,240)			
18,849			
Adjustment			
to IPF			
receivables			
-- -- 1,879			
-----			
-----			
-- \$ 8,182 \$			
3,331			
\$(15,661) \$			
15,340			
=====			
=====			
=====			
=====			
Increase			
(decrease)			
to expenses:			
Fair value			
deferred			
compensation			
adjustment \$			
(1,315) \$			
(1,249) \$			
(2,277) \$ 71			
Bad debt			
expense			
accrual --			

75 -- 75  
 Adjustment  
 to IPF  
 valuation  
 allowance  
 473 176 32  
 2,743  
 Ineffective  
 portion of  
 interest  
 hedges 1,010  
 262 1,588  
 190 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -- \$ 168 \$  
 (736) \$  
 (657) \$  
 3,079  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====

Extraordinary  
 Items: Gain  
 on  
 retirement  
 of debt  
 securities \$  
 319 \$ 687 \$  
 1,646 \$  
 2,002  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====  
 =====

Comparison of 2002 to 2001

Quarters Ended September 30, 2001 and 2002

Net income in the third quarter of 2002 totaled \$9.2 million, compared to \$8.2 million in the prior year period. Gains on retirement of debt securities were \$319,000 (net of taxes) and \$687,000 (net of taxes) for the third quarter of 2001 and 2002, respectively. Production declined to 151.2 Mmcfe per day, a 1% decrease from the prior year period. The decline was due to lower production at Matagorda Island 519, other natural production declines in the Gulf Coast area and an unfavorable impact on Gulf Coast volumes from tropical storm Isidore. Revenues declined primarily due to a decrease in average prices per mcfe to \$3.46. The average prices received for oil decreased 13% to \$22.05 per barrel, increased 2% for gas to \$3.48 per mcf and decreased 5% for NGL's to \$13.49 per barrel. Production expenses increased 4% to \$10.5 million as a result of higher production taxes and other operating expenses. Operating cost (including production taxes) per mcfe produced averaged \$0.76 in 2002 versus \$0.72 in 2001.

Transportation and processing revenues increased 13% to \$1.0 million in 2002 with higher oil trading margins and higher gas prices. IPF recorded income of \$1.3 million, a decrease of \$377,000 from the 2001 period. 2001 IPF expenses included an \$473,000 unfavorable valuation allowance adjustment. IPF expenses in 2002 includes a \$177,000 unfavorable valuation allowance. IPF revenue declined from the previous year due to a smaller portfolio balance. During the quarter ended September 30, 2002, IPF expenses included \$391,000 of administrative costs and \$241,000 of interest, compared to prior year period administrative expenses of \$406,000 and interest of \$388,000.

Exploration expense increased \$575,000 to \$1.8 million in 2002, primarily due to additional seismic activity and dry hole costs. General and administrative expenses increased 68% or \$1.2 million to \$3.1 million in the quarter with higher legal, accounting and engineering technical consulting costs, information systems programming costs and salary related expenses. The fair value deferred compensation adjustment included in general and administrative expense is a credit of \$1.3 million in the three months ended 2001 and a credit of \$1.2 million in 2002. (See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements).

Interest and other income was a loss of \$181,000 in 2001 and a loss of \$125,000 in 2002. The 2001 period included \$321,000 of ineffective hedging losses and \$103,000 of gains on asset sales. The 2002 period included \$419,000 of ineffective hedging losses, and \$266,000 of gains on asset sales. Interest expense decreased 29% to \$5.8 million as a result of the lower outstanding debt, falling interest rates and lower marked to market swap interest expense. Total debt was \$409.6 million and \$364.7 million at September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. The average interest rates (excluding hedging) were 6.2% and 5.3%, respectively, at September 30, 2001 and 2002 including fixed and variable rate debt.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization ("DD&A") increased 5% from the third quarter of 2001. The per mcf DD&A rate for the third quarter of 2002 was \$1.42, a \$0.09 increase from the rate for the third quarter of 2001. The DD&A rate is determined based on year-end reserves (which are evaluated based on a published ten-year price forecast) and the net book value associated with them and, to a lesser extent, depreciation on other assets owned. The Company currently expects its DD&A rate for the remainder of 2002 to approximate \$1.37 per mcf. The high DD&A rate will make it difficult for the Company to remain profitable if commodity prices fall materially.

Income taxes were \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2001 (including \$172,000 included in extraordinary gain) versus \$363,000 of tax expense (included in extraordinary gain) in the three months ended September 30, 2002. At December 31, 2000, the Company had a \$72.0 million deferred tax asset for which a full valuation allowance was recorded. Increased oil and gas prices caused the Company to realize enough taxable income to fully utilize the asset during the nine months of 2001. Therefore, the Company provided deferred taxes on income in the quarter ended September 30, 2001 after the valuation allowance was fully utilized. See Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for current year more information on 2002 taxes.

#### Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2001 and 2002

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 totaled \$20.9 million compared to \$45.2 million for the comparable period of 2001. Gains on retirement of securities (net of taxes) of \$1.6 million and \$2.0 million are included in the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. Production for the nine months declined to 150.4 Mmcf per day, a 1% decrease from the prior year period. The decline was due to lower production at Matagorda Island 519, other natural production declines in the Gulf Coast area and the unfavorable impact on Gulf Coast volumes from tropical storm Isidore. Revenues declined primarily due to a decrease in average prices per mcf to \$3.44. The average prices received for oil decreased 14% to \$22.32 per barrel, 10% for gas to \$3.44 per mcf and 38% for NGL's to \$12.39 per barrel. Production expenses decreased 14% to \$29.7 million in 2002 as a result of lower production taxes and workover costs in the Gulf of Mexico. Operating cost (including production taxes) per mcf produced averaged \$0.72 in 2002 versus \$0.83 in 2001.

Transportation and processing revenues are slightly higher in 2002 than the prior year at \$2.7 million. IPF recorded income of \$3.5 million, a decrease of \$3.7 million from the 2001 period. IPF income in the 2001 period included a favorable receivables adjustment of \$1.9 million. IPF expenses in the 2002 period includes \$2.7 million of unfavorable valuation allowance adjustments. IPF income declined from the previous year due to smaller portfolio balance. During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, IPF expenses included \$1.3 million of administrative costs and \$754,000 of interest, compared to prior year period administrative expenses of \$1.3 million and interest of \$1.5 million.

Exploration expense increased \$5.6 million to \$9.3 million in 2002, primarily due to additional seismic activity and additional dry hole costs which includes the first quarter \$3.5 million dry hole cost in East Texas. General and administrative expenses increased 51% to \$12.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 due to an increase in the fair value deferred compensation adjustment, higher legal accounting and engineering consulting costs, insurance and salary related expenses. The fair value deferred compensation adjustment included in general and administrative expenses was a credit of \$2.3 million in the nine months ended 2001 and an expense of \$71,000 in the 2002 period. (See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements).

Interest and other income decreased from a positive \$3.1 million to a loss of \$3.4 million. The 2001 period included \$2.9 million of ineffective hedging gains, \$1.2 million of gains on asset sales offset by a \$1.4 million write down of marketable securities. The 2002 period included \$2.6 million of ineffective hedging losses and a \$1.2 million write down of marketable securities. Interest expense decreased 32% to \$17.5 million as a result of lower outstanding



debt, falling interest rates and lower marked to market swap interest expense. Total debt was \$392.2 million and \$364.7 million at December 31, 2001 and September 30, 2002, respectively. The average interest rates were 5.5% and 5.3%, respectively, at December 31, 2001 and September 30, 2002 including fixed and variable rate debt.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization increased 4% from the nine month period of 2001. The per mcfe DD&A rate for the nine months of 2002 was \$1.39, a \$0.07 increase from the rate for the same period of the prior year.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about the Company's potential exposure to market risks. In the Company's case, the term "market risk" refers primarily to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in oil and gas prices and interest rates. The disclosures are not meant to be indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how Range views and manages its ongoing market risk exposures. The Company's market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for purposes other than trading.

Commodity Price Risk. Range's major market risk exposure is to oil and gas pricing. Realized pricing is primarily driven by worldwide prices for oil and market prices for North American gas production. Oil and gas prices have been volatile and unpredictable for many years.

The Company periodically enters into hedging arrangements with respect to its oil and gas production. Pursuant to these swaps, Range receives a fixed price for its production and pays market prices to the contract counterparty. This hedging is intended to reduce the impact of oil and gas price fluctuations on the Company's results and not to increase profits. Realized gains or losses are generally recognized in oil and gas revenues when the associated production occurs. Starting in 2001, gains or losses on open contracts are recorded either in current period income or other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The gains or losses realized as a result of hedging are substantially offset in the cash market when the commodity is delivered. Of the \$6.8 million unrealized pre-tax loss included in OCI at September 30, 2002 \$7.1 million of losses would be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve month period if prices remained constant. The actual amounts that will be reclassified will vary as a result of changes in prices. Range does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes.

As of September 30, 2002 oil and gas hedges were in place covering 58.4 Bcf of gas and 1.7 million barrels of oil. Their fair value, represented by the estimated amount that would be realized on termination based on contract versus NYMEX prices, was a net unrealized pre-tax loss of \$6.8 million at September 30, 2002. These contracts expire monthly through December 2005 and cover approximately 70%, 60%, 30% and 10% of anticipated production from proved reserves on an mcf basis for the remainder of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Gains or losses on open and closed hedging transactions are determined as the difference between the contract price and the reference price, generally closing prices on the NYMEX. Transaction gains and losses are determined monthly and are included as increases or decreases to oil and gas revenues in the period the hedged production is sold. Net realized losses incurred relating to these swaps for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 were \$20.2 million and net realized gains were \$18.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

In the first nine months of 2002, a 10% reduction in oil and gas prices, excluding amounts fixed through hedging transactions, would have reduced revenue by \$12.3 million. If oil and gas future prices at September 30, 2002 had declined 10%, the unrealized hedging gain at that date would have increased \$26.8 million.

Interest rate risk. At September 30, 2002 Range had \$364.7 million of debt (including Trust Preferred) outstanding. Of this amount, \$176.0 million bore interest at fixed rates averaging 7.0%. Senior debt and non-recourse debt totaling \$188.7 million bore interest at floating rates averaging 3.7%. At September 30, 2002 Great Lakes had nine interest rate swap agreements totaling \$100.0 million (See Note 7), 50% of which is consolidated at Range, which had a fair value loss (Range's share) of \$2.4 million at that date. A 1% increase or decrease in short-term interest rates would cost or save the Company approximately \$1.4 million in annual interest expense.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Within the 90 days prior to the date of this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. No significant changes in the Company's internal controls or other factors that could affect these controls have occurred subsequent to the date of such evaluation.

As detailed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements, the Company chose to reaudit its Consolidated Financial Statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001 and in the course of such reaudit KPMG LLP advised the Company that a series of restatements were appropriate. As a result of that reaudit and restatement process, KPMG advised the Company that it may have had a material weakness in its system of internal accounting controls. A company's internal accounting controls should function to ensure (a) the reliability of financial reporting; (b) the effectiveness and efficiency of operations; and (c) compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Given the restatements, the Company was unsure that its internal accounting controls were properly functioning to ensure reliability of financial reporting. In response, management formed a committee of the Chief Financial Officer, Investor Relations Officer and the Controller to meet with the Company's outside auditors and the audit committee of the Board of Directors to discuss accounting standards and pronouncements and ensure that the Company's financial reporting is in full compliance with them. The committee will take affirmative steps as necessary to ensure adequate and properly functioning internal accounting controls. The Company believes that with these actions the Company's internal accounting controls are now properly functioning.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company is involved in various legal actions and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, such litigation and claims are likely to be resolved without material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

- (a) Not applicable
- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable
- (d) Not applicable.

ITEM 3. NOT APPLICABLE.

ITEM 4. NOT APPLICABLE

ITEM 5. NOT APPLICABLE

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) Exhibits:

- 3.1.1. Certificate of Incorporation of Lomak dated March 24, 1980 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.1.2. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated July 22, 1981 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.1.3. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated September 8, 1982 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.1.4. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated December 28, 1988 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.1.5. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated August 31, 1989 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.1.6. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated May 30, 1991 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-20259)).
- 3.1.7. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated November 20, 1992 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-20257)).
- 3.1.8. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated May 24, 1996 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-20257)).
- 3.1.9. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated October 2, 1996 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-20257)).
- 3.1.10. Restated Certificate of Incorporation as required by Item 102 of Regulation S-T (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-20257)).
- 3.1.11. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated August 25, 1998 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-62439)).
- 3.1.12. Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation dated May 25, 2000 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated August 8, 2000).
- 3.2.1. By-Laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement (No. 33-31558)).
- 3.2.2. Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company dated May 24, 2001.

(b) Reports on Form 8/K

Form 8K/A dated July 17, 2002 (filed on July 17, 2002) reporting under Item 4 - Changes in Registrants Certifying Accountants.

Form 8-K dated July 15, 2002 (filed on July 15, 2002) reporting under Item 4 - Changes in Registrants Certifying Accountants.

Form 8-K dated August 14, 2002 (filed on August 14, 2002) reporting under Item 9- Regulation FD Disclosure.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned.

RANGE RESOURCES CORPORATION

By: /s/ Eddie M. LeBlanc

-----  
Eddie M. LeBlanc  
Chief Financial Officer

November 13, 2002

I, John H. Pinkerton, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Range Resources Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 13, 2002

/s/ John H. Pinkerton

-----  
John H. Pinkerton, President

I, Eddie M. LeBlanc, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Range Resources Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - d) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - e) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 13, 2002

/s/ Eddie M. LeBlanc

-----  
Eddie M. LeBlanc, Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit  
Number  
Description  
of Exhibit -  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

3.1.1.  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
of Lomak  
dated March  
24, 1980  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558))

3.1.2.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated July  
22, 1981  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558))

3.1.3.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated  
September 8,  
1982  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558))

3.1.4.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated  
December 28,  
1988  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration

Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558))  
3.1.5.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated August  
31, 1989  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558))

3.1.6.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated May  
30, 1991  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
20259))

3.1.7.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated  
November 20,  
1992  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
20257))

3.1.8.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated May  
24, 1996  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
20257))

3.1.9.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated  
October 2,

1996  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
20257))  
3.1.10.  
Restated  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
as required  
by Item 102  
of  
Regulation  
S-T

(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
20257))  
3.1.11.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of  
Incorporation  
dated August  
25, 1998

(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 333-  
62439))  
3.1.12.  
Certificate  
of Amendment  
of  
Certificate  
of

Incorporation  
dated May  
25, 2000  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Form 10-Q  
dated August  
8, 2000)

3.2.1. By-  
Laws of the  
Company  
(incorporated  
by reference  
to the  
Company's  
Registration  
Statement  
(No. 33-  
31558) 3.2.2  
Amended and  
Restated By-  
laws of the  
Company  
dated May  
24, 2001

